EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR SOME NONLINEAR SEMIPOSITONE MULTI-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE SYSTEM WITH TWO PARAMETERS
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Abstract

In this paper, the fixed point theorem in cones is used to obtain the existence of multiple positive solutions for systems of nonlinear $m$-point semipositone boundary value problems:

\[
\begin{align*}
-(Lu)(t) &= \lambda a(t)(f_1(u(t), v(t)) + g_1(u(t), v(t))), \\
-(Lv)(t) &= \mu b(t)(f_2(u(t), v(t)) + g_2(u(t), v(t))), \\
u(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\xi_i), \\
v(0) &= 0, \quad v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i v(\xi_i),
\end{align*}
\]

where $(Lu)(t) = (p(t)u'(t))' + q(t)u(t)$ and $\xi_i \in (0, 1)$ with $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in R^+$, $f_i$ and $g_i$ are both semipositone.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions for the following \( m \)-boundary value system (SBVS for short):

\[
\begin{aligned}
-(Lu)(t) &= \lambda a(t)(f_1(u(t), v(t)) + g_1(u(t), v(t))), \\
-(Lv)(t) &= \mu b(t)(f_2(u(t), v(t)) + g_2(u(t), v(t))), \\
u(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\xi_i), \\
v(0) &= 0, \quad v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i v(\xi_i),
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are positive parameters, \( (Lu)(t) = (p(t)u'(t))' + q(t)u(t) \), \( a(t), b(t) \in C[J', R^+] \), \( f_i \) and \( g_i : J \times R^+ \times R^+ \to R \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) are continuous, \( \xi_i \in (0, 1) \) with \( 0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{m-2} < 1 \), \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \in R^+ \), here \( J = [0, 1], J' = (0, 1), R^+ = [0, +\infty) \), \( a(t), b(t) \) may be singular at \( t = 0, 1 \). Since the nonlinearity \( f_i \) and \( g_i \) may change sign, the problem studied in this paper is so-called semipositone problem in the literature which arises naturally in chemical reactor theory. Up to now, much attention has been attached to the existence of positive solutions for semipositone differential equations and system of differential equations, see \[1-11\] and references therein to name a few. Recently, when nonlinearity is continuous, Su et al. \[10\] obtained the existence of positive solutions for the following second-order semipositone two-point boundary-value system:
On the other hand, the multi-point boundary-value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. For the background in this area, we refer the reader to the references [12-14]. The study of multi-point boundary-value problems for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated by Ilin and Moiseev [14]. Since then, nonlinear multi-point boundary-value problems have been studied by many authors using the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, nonlinear alternatives of Leray-Schauder, coincidence degree theory, and fixed point theorem in cones. When \( f \) is nonnegative, Zhang and Sun [2] investigated the positive solution for the following \( m \)-point boundary value problem under some conditions concerning the first eigenvalue with respect to the relevant linear operators:

\[
\begin{aligned}
- (L\varphi)(x) &= h(x)f(\varphi(x)), \\
\varphi(0) &= 0, \quad \varphi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \varphi(\xi_i).
\end{aligned}
\]

Motivated by [10] and [2], the purpose of this paper is to consider the existence of multiple positive solutions for SBVS (1) and the following SBVS:

\[
\begin{aligned}
- (Lu)(t) &= \lambda f(t, u(t), v(t)), \\
- (Lv)(t) &= \mu g(t, u(t), v(t)), \\
u(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\xi_i), \\
v(0) &= 0, \quad v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i v(\xi_i).
\end{aligned}
\]

\( t \in J. \)
Compared with [10], since the nonlinearities in question allow to be singular at $t = 0, 1$, the construction of the cone is different from that in [10]. Furthermore, the operator discussed in this paper is the general Sturm-Liouville operator and the boundary value problem is the more complicated one.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and lemmas. The main results are formulated in Section 3 and an example is also given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and Several Lemmas

Let $E = C[0, 1], E_+ = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, t \in J\}, E \times E = C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$, then $(E \times E, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|x, y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$, $|x| = \max_{t \in J}|x(t)|$. We also introduce the space $L^1(0, 1)$ with norm $\|x\|_1 = \int_0^1 |x(t)|dt$.

Throughout this paper, we always suppose the following $(H_1)$ holds:

$$(H_1) \quad p(t) \in C^1[0, 1], \quad p(t) > 0, \quad q(t) \in C[0, 1], \quad q(t) \leq 0.$$  

Then we have the following:

Lemma 1 ([2]). Assume that $(H_1)$ holds. Let $\Phi_1(t), \Phi_2(t)$ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (Lu)(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (Lu)(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 1, u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
respectively. Then

(i) $\Phi_1(t)$ is increasing on $[0, 1]$, $\Phi_1(t) > 0$, $t \in (0, 1]$;

(ii) $\Phi_2(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and $\Phi_2(t) > 0$, $t \in [0, 1)$.

It’s clear, $\Phi_1(t), \Phi_2(t) \in C([0, 1], [0, 1])$. Let

$$k(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho} \Phi_1(t) \Phi_2(s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \Phi_1(s) \Phi_2(t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \Phi_1'(0)$ (we know from Lemma 1, $\Phi_1'(0) > 0$) and

$$K_1(t, s) = k(t, s) + D^{-1} \Phi_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i k(\xi_i, s), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1,$$

$$K_2(t, s) = k(t, s) + D^{-1} \Phi_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i k(\xi_i, s), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1,$$

where $D = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \Phi_1(\xi_i)$. Then $K_i(t, s)$ is continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $K_i(t, s)(i = 0, 1)$ is nonnegative for any $t, s \in [0, 1]$. Obviously, for $e(t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \Phi_1(t) \Phi_2(t)$, by Lemma 1, (5)-(7) we have

$$K_1(t, s) \leq \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) e(s) \text{ or } \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) e(t), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1,$$

$$K_2(t, s) \leq \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) e(s) \text{ or } \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) e(t), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1.$$
By Lemma 1, we have
\[ k(t_0, s)\phi_1(t)\phi_2(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \phi_1(t)\phi_2(t)\phi_1(s)\phi_2(s) \leq k(t, s) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \phi_1(t)\phi_2(t), \quad t_0 \in [0, 1]. \]  
(10)

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that \( \bar{\omega}_1 \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty)) \) satisfy the following boundary value problem:
\[
\begin{cases}
- (Lu)(t) = a(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\
u(0) = 0, & u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\xi_i),
\end{cases}
\]
then
\[
\bar{\omega}_1 = \int_0^1 K_1(t, s)a(s)ds \leq \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \|e\|_1 c(t), \quad t \in J.
\]

**Proof.** It is easy to be proven by (8), we omit the details.

Similarly, we have

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that \( \bar{\omega}_2 \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty)) \) satisfy the following boundary value problem:
\[
\begin{cases}
- (Lu)(t) = b(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\
u(0) = 0, & u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i u(\xi_i),
\end{cases}
\]
then
\[
\bar{\omega}_2 = \int_0^1 K_2(t, s)b(s)ds \leq \left(1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \|e\|_1 c(t), \quad t \in J.
\]

We make the following assumptions for system (1):
\[
(H_2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \phi_1(\xi_i) < 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \phi_1(\xi_i) < 1;
\]
(S$_1$) $f_i, g_i \in C(R^+ \times R^+, R)$ (i = 0, 1), $f_1(u, v) \geq 0, g_1(u, v) \geq 0, \\
\forall (u, v) \in J \times R^+; f_2(u, v) \geq 0, g_2(u, v) \geq 0, \forall (u, v) \in R^+ \times J; a(t), \\
b(t) \in C[J', R^+]$ with \\
\[0 < \int_0^1 e(s)a(s)ds < +\infty, \quad 0 < \int_0^1 e(s)b(s)ds < +\infty.\]

(S$_2$) For any $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$, there exist $M_i > 0, (i = 1, 2)$ such that \\
f_i(u, v) \geq -M_1, \quad g_i(u, v) \geq -M_2, \quad i = 1, 2;

(S$_3$) $f_i^{\infty} = \infty, g_i^{\infty} = 0,$ where \\
f_i^{\infty} = \lim_{u+v \to +\infty \atop u>0,v>0} \frac{f_i(u, v)}{u + v}, \quad g_i^{\infty} = \lim_{x+y \to +\infty \atop u>0,v>0} \frac{g_i(u, v)}{u + v}, \quad i = 1, 2.

For SBVS (2), we adopt the following assumptions:

(S$_1'$) $f, g \in C(R^+ \times R^+, R), f(u, v) \geq 0, \forall (u, v) \in J \times R^+; g(u, v) \geq 0, \\
\forall (u, v) \in R^+ \times J; a(t), b(t) \in C[J', R^+]$ with \\
\[0 < \int_0^1 e(s)a(s)ds < +\infty, \quad 0 < \int_0^1 e(s)b(s)ds < +\infty.\]

(S$_2'$) For any $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$, there exist $M_i > 0, (i = 1, 2)$ such that \\
f(u, v) \geq -M_1, \quad g(u, v) \geq -M_2.

(S$_3'$) $f^{\infty} = \infty, g^{\infty} = 0,$ where \\
f^{\infty} = \lim_{x+y \to +\infty \atop u>0,v>0} \frac{f(u, v)}{u + v}, \quad g^{\infty} = \lim_{x+y \to +\infty \atop u>0,v>0} \frac{g(u, v)}{u + v}.\]
Remark. From (S_1) and (S_1'), we know there exist $t_0$ and $t_1$ such that $a(t_0), b(t_1) > 0$. We can pick out $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1 - \theta]$.

For notational convenience, we denote

$$F_i(u, v) = f_i(u, v) + M_1, \quad G_i(u, v) = g_i(u, v) + M_2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$  

$$F = f(u, v) + M_1, \quad G = g(t, x, y) + M_2.$$  

For any $L > 0$, denote

$$F_L = \max \left\{ \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |f_2(u, v)|, \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |f_2(u, v)| \right\},$$

$$G_L = \max \left\{ \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |g_2(u, v)|, \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |g_2(u, v)| \right\},$$

$$F'_L = \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |f(u, v)|, \quad G'_L = \sup_{u+v \leq L, u > 0, v > 0} |g(u, v)|.$$  

Let

$$\overline{F}_i(u, v) =$$

$$\begin{cases} 
F_i(u, v), & u \geq 0, v \geq 0, \\
F_i(u, 0), & u \geq 0, v \leq 0, \\
F_i(0, v), & u < 0, v \geq 0, \\
F_i(0, 0), & u < 0, v < 0, 
\end{cases}$$

$$i = 1, 2.$$  

$$\overline{G}_i(u, v) =$$

$$\begin{cases} 
G_i(u, v), & u \geq 0, v \geq 0, \\
G_i(u, 0), & u \geq 0, v \leq 0, \\
G_i(0, v), & u < 0, v \geq 0, \\
G_i(0, 0), & u < 0, v < 0, 
\end{cases}$$

$$i = 1, 2.$$
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\[ \omega_1(t) = \lambda (M_1 + M_2) \bar{\omega}, \quad \omega_2(t) = \mu (M_1 + M_2) \bar{\omega}. \]

Define an operator \( T : E \times E \rightarrow E \times E \) as follows:

\[ T(u, v)(t) = (T_1(u, v)(t), T_2(u, v)(t)), \]

where

\[ T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 K_1(t, s)a(s) \left[ \bar{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \bar{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds, \]

(12)

\[ T_2(u, v)(t) = \mu \int_0^1 K_2(t, s)b(s) \left[ \bar{F}_2(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \bar{G}_2(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds. \]

(13)

**Lemma 4.** Let \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) be satisfied, then \((u, v)\) is a positive solution for SBVS (1) if and only if \((\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = (u + \omega_1, v + \omega_2)\) is a fixed point of operator \(T\), and \(\bar{u}(t) > \omega_1(t), \bar{v}(t) > \omega_2(t), t \in J\).

Let

\[ K = \{ u \in E_+ : u(t) \geq \|u\|, \forall t \in J \}, \]

\[ K_\theta = \{ u \in E_+ : u(t) \geq M_0 \|u\|, \forall t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta] \}. \]

(14)

Choose \(M_0 = \min_{t \in [0, 1 - \theta]} \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\). It is easy to verify that \(K_\theta\) and \(K_0 \times K_0\) are cones in \(E\) and \(E \times E\), respectively.

**Lemma 5.** Let \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) be satisfied, then \(T : K_0 \times K_0 \rightarrow K_0 \times K_0\) is completely continuous.

**Proof.** By (8) and (12), for any \((u, v) \in K \times K\) and \(t \in J\), we have

\[ T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 K_1(t, s)a(s) \left[ \bar{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \bar{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds \]
\[
\leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s) e(s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right. \\
+ \left. \mathcal{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds.
\]  

Hence
\[
\| T_1(u, v) \| \leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s) e(s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right. \\
+ \left. \mathcal{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds.  
\]  

Similarly, we get
\[
\| T_2(u, v) \| \leq \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s) e(s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_2(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right. \\
+ \left. \mathcal{G}_2(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds.  
\]  

Pick out \( t_0 \in [0, 1] \) such that \( T_1(u, v)(t_0) = \| T_1(u, v) \| \). By (17) and (12), for any \( t \in [0, 1 - \theta] \), we have
\[
T_1(u, v)(t) \\
= \lambda \int_0^1 K_1 a(s)(t, s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \mathcal{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds, \\
= \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s) a(s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \mathcal{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds \\
+ \lambda D^{-1} \Phi_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^1 k(\xi_i, s) a(s) \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right. \\
+ \left. \mathcal{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \right] ds
\]
\[
\geq \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\lambda \int_0^1 k(t_0, s)\alpha(s) [F_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)
+ G_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[
+ \lambda D^{-1}\Phi_1(t_0) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^1 k(\xi_i, s)\alpha(s) [F_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)
+ G_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[
\geq \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\lambda \int_0^1 k(t_0, s)\alpha(s) [F_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)
+ G_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[
+ \lambda D^{-1}\Phi_1(t_0) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^1 k(\xi_i, s)\alpha(s) [F_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)
+ G_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[
= \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\|T_1(u, v)(t_0) = \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\|T_2(u, v)\|
\]
\[
\geq M_0\|T_1(u, v)\|. \quad (18)
\]

In the same way, we get
\[
T_2(u, v)(t) \geq \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t)\|T_2(u, v)\|, \quad t \in [0, 1 - \theta]. \quad (19)
\]

It follows from (18) and (19) that \( T : K_0 \times K_0 \to K_0 \times K_0 \). Ascoli-Arzela theorem and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem guarantee that \( T : K_0 \times K_0 \to K_0 \times K_0 \) is completely continuous.

To prove the main results of this paper, we need the following well-known fixed point theorem:

**Lemma 6 ([12]).** Suppose \( E \) is a real Banach space, \( P \subset E \) is a cone, let \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3 \) be three bounded open set in \( E \) such that \( \theta \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2, \).
Let operator $A : P \cap \Omega_3 \rightarrow P$ be completely continuous. Suppose that

\[
\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1,
\]
\[
\|Ax\| \geq \|x\|, \quad Ax \neq x, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_2,
\]
\[
\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_3.
\]

Then $A$ have two fixed points $x^*, x^{**}$ in $P \cap \overline{\Omega}_3$ and $x^* \in P \cap (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$, $x^{**} \in P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_2)$.

3. Main Results

The following two theorems are the main results:

**Theorem 1.** Assume that conditions $(H_1), (H_2), (S_1)$-$(S_3)$ are satisfied. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda$ and $\mu$, SBVS (1) has at least two positive solutions.

For system (2), we have the following results:

**Theorem 2.** Assume that conditions $(H_1), (H_2), (S_1')$-$(S_3')$ are satisfied. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda$ and $\mu$, SBVS (2) has at least two positive solutions.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By Lemma 4, we need only to prove $T$ has at least two fixed points $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}), (\tilde{x}', \tilde{y}')$ with $\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}'(t) \geq \omega_1(t), \tilde{y}(t), \tilde{y}'(t) \geq \omega_2(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$.

Firstly, by $(S_3)$, we have

\[
\lim_{u \to 0^+, v \to 0^+} \frac{\overline{G}_i(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)}{u + v} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Then, there exist $L_1 > 1$ and $\widetilde{N} > 0$ such that

\[
\overline{G}_i(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \leq \widetilde{N}(u + v), \quad u + v \geq L_1, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
and for \( \lambda \) small enough, \( \tilde{N} \) satisfies

\[
(F_R + M_1 + M_2 \tilde{N}) \left[ \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) + \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \\
\times \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s))ds < 1,
\]

\[
(F_1 + M_1 + G_1 + M_2) \left[ \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) + \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \\
\times \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s))ds < 1, \tag{20}
\]

where \( R \) is given next. Thus,

\[
\overline{G}_i(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \leq \tilde{N}(\|u\| + \|v\|), \quad u + v \geq L_1, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{21}
\]

Set \( R = L_1 + 1 \) and \( \Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : \|u, v\| < R\} \). For any \((u, v) \in (K_0 \times K_0) \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and \( t \in [0, 1 - \theta] \), by (21), we have

\[
T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 K_1(t, s)\alpha(s)[\overline{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)]ds \\
\leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s)\alpha(s)[\overline{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \\
+ \overline{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)]ds \\
\leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s))[\overline{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \\
+ \overline{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)]ds \\
+ \tilde{N}(\|u\| + \|v\|)]ds
\]
\[
\leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \left[ (F_R + M_1 + M_2) + \tilde{N} \right] \times \int_0^1 e(s) (a(s) + b(s)) ds(\|u\| + \|v\|). \tag{22}
\]

Similarly, we get
\[
T_2(u, v)(t) \leq \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \left[ (F_R + M_1 + M_2) + \tilde{N} \right] \times \int_0^1 e(s) (a(s) + b(s)) ds(\|u\| + \|v\|). \tag{23}
\]

It follows from (22) and (23) that
\[
\|T(u, v)\| \leq (F_R + M_1 + M_2 + \tilde{N}) \left[ \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) + \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \times \int_0^1 e(s) ds(\|u\| + \|v\|).
\]

Then, by (20), we have
\[
\|T(u, v)\| \leq \|(x, y)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in (K_0 \times K_0) \cap \partial \Omega_1. \tag{24}
\]

Secondly, set \( \Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : \|u, v\| < 1\} \). For any \((u, v) \in (K_0 \times K_0) \cap \partial \Omega_2 \) and \( t \in [0, 1 - \theta] \), we have
\[
T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^t K_1(t, s)a(s) [\overline{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[
\leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s) a(s) [\overline{F}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_1(s, u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds
\]
\[ \leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s)) \left[ (F_1 + M_1) \ight. \\
\left. + (G_1 + M_2) \right] ds \]
\[ \leq \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) (F_1 + M_1 + G_1 + M_2) \\
\times \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s)) ds. \quad (25) \]

Similarly, we have
\[ T_2(u, v)(t) \leq \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) (F_1 + M_1 + G_1 + M_2) \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s)) ds. \quad (26) \]

By (25), (26), and (20), we get
\[ T(u, v)(t) \leq (F_1 + M_1 + G_1 + M_2) \left[ \lambda \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \right. \\
\left. + \mu \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \\
\times \int_0^1 e(s)(a(s) + b(s)) ds. \]

Thus,
\[ \|T(u, v)\| \leq \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in (K_0 \times K_0) \cap \partial \Omega_2. \quad (27) \]

On the other hand, choose \( \bar{M} > 0 \) big enough such that for some \( t_* \in [0, 1 - \theta] \)
\[ (\lambda + \mu) \bar{M} \min \left\{ \int_0^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s)a(s) ds, \int_0^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s)b(s) ds \right\} \geq 1. \]

By \((S_3)\), we get
\[ \lim_{\substack{u+v \to +\infty \\
u > 0, v > 0}} \frac{F_i(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)}{u + v} = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2. \]
Therefore, there exists $1 < L_2$ such that for any $u + v \geq L_2$ and $t \in J$

$$F_i (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \geq \bar{M} (\max_{t \in J} u(t) + \max_{t \in J} v(t)), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (28)$$

Choose $\frac{L_2}{M_\theta} < r < R$ and $\Omega_3 = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : \|u, v\| < r\}$. For any $(u, v) \in (K_\theta \times K_\theta) \cap \partial \Omega_3$, we have by the construction of cone $K_\theta$ that $u(s) \geq M_\theta\|u\|, s \in [0, 1 - \theta]$. Therefore, $u(s) + v(s) \geq M_\theta(\|u\| + \|v\|) \geq L_2$. Thus, for $t \in [0, 1 - \theta]$, by the definition of $F_i$ and (28), we have

$$F_i (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \geq \bar{M} (\|u\| + \|v\|), \quad u + v \geq L_2, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (29)$$

So, for some $t^* \in [0, 1 - \theta]$ and $(u, v) \in (K \times K) \cap \partial \Omega_3$, by (12) and (13), we have

$$T_1 (u, v)(t^*) = \lambda \int_0^1 K_1 (t^*, s) a(s) [\bar{F}_1 (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) + \bar{G}_1 (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)] ds$$

$$\geq \lambda \int_0^1 k(t^*, s) a(s) \bar{F}_1 (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) ds$$

$$\geq \lambda \bar{M} \int_0^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s) a(s) (\|u\| + \|v\|) ds. \quad (30)$$

Similarly, we get

$$T_2 (u, v)(t^*) \geq \mu \bar{M} \int_\theta^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s) b(s) (\|u\| + \|v\|) ds.$$

As a consequence,

$$\|T (u, v)(t)\| \geq (\lambda + \mu) \bar{M} \min \left\{ \int_0^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s) a(s) ds, \int_\theta^{1-\theta} k(t^*, s) b(s) ds \right\}$$

$$\times (\|u\| + \|v\|) ds \geq \|u\| + \|v\|.$$
So, we have

\[ \|T(u, v)\| \geq \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in (K_0 \times K_0) \cap \Omega_3. \]  \hfill (31)

It follows from (24), (27), (31), and Lemma 6 that \( T \) have two fixed points \((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), (\tilde{u}', \tilde{v}')\) on \( K \times K \), and \( 1 < \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\| < r < \|(\tilde{u}', \tilde{v}')\| < R \).

Finally, we are in position to show that \( \tilde{u}(t) > \omega_1(t), \tilde{v}(t) > \omega_2(t), t \in (0, 1) \).

For \( \|(u, v)\| = (\|u\| + \|v\|) > 1 \), we shall give the proof for three cases:

1. \( \|u\| > 1 \);
2. \( \|v\| > 1 \);
3. \( \|u\| \leq 1, \|v\| \leq 1 \) and \( \|u\| + \|v\| > 1 \).

**Case 1.** If \( \|u\| > 1 \), then for \( \lambda \) small enough, by Lemma 2, \( u(t) > \|u\| \Phi_1(t) \Phi_2(t) > \Phi_1(t) \Phi_2(t) > \lambda (M_1 + M_2) \omega_1(t) = \omega_1(t) \). Similarly, we have \( \tilde{v}(t) > \omega_2(t) \), \( t \in (0, 1) \) when \( \|v\| > 1 \). On the other hand, if \( \|v\| \leq 1 \), set \( J_1 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \tilde{v}(t) > \omega_2(t) \} \), \( J_2 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \tilde{v}(t) \leq \omega_2(t) \} \). It is clear, \( J_1 \cup J_2 = [0, 1] \). Since \((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\) is a solution of (11), we have

\[
\tilde{v}(t) = \mu \int_0^t K_2(t, s) b(s) \left[ \overline{F}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_2(s, \tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) \right] ds
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{J_1} + \int_{J_2} \right) \mu K_2(t, s) b(s) \left[ \overline{F}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_2(s, \tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) \right] ds.
\]

For \( s \in J_1, \tilde{u}(s) - \omega_1(s) > 0, \tilde{v}(s) - \omega_2(s) > 0 \), then by the definition of \( \overline{F}_2 \) and \( \overline{G}_2 \), we have

\[
\mu[\overline{F}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2)]
\]

\[
= \mu[\overline{F}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + \overline{G}_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2)]
\]

\[
= \mu[(f_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + M_1) + (g_2(\tilde{u} - \omega_1, \tilde{v} - \omega_2) + M_2)].
\]
Therefore,
\[
\int_{J_1} K_2(t, s)b(s)\mu \left[ F_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) + G_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) \right]
= \int_{J_1} K_2(t, s)b(s)\mu \left[ f_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) + g_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) \right]
+ \mu(M_1 + M_2) \int_{J_1} K_2(t, s)b(s)ds.
\]

For \( s \in J_2, \bar{u}(s) - \omega_1(s) > 0, \bar{v}(s) - \omega_2(s) \leq 0 \), then by the definition of \( F_2 \) and \( G_2 \), we have
\[
\mu \left[ F_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) + G_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) \right]
= \mu \left[ F_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) + G_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) \right]
= \mu \left[ (f_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) + M_1) + (g_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) + M_2) \right].
\]

Thus,
\[
\int_{J_2} K_2(t, s)b(s)\mu \left[ F_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) + G_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) \right]
= \int_{J_2} K_2(t, s)b(s)\mu \left[ f_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) + g_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, 0) \right]
+ \mu(M_1 + M_2) \int_{J_2} K_2(t, s)b(s)ds.
\]

By (S1), we have
\[ f_2(u, v) \geq 0, \quad g_2(u, v) \geq 0, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times J. \]

Then, we obtain
\[
\bar{v}(t) = \left( \int_{J_1} + \int_{J_2} \right) \mu K_2(t, s)b(s)\mu \left[ F_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) + G_2(\bar{u} - \omega_1, \bar{v} - \omega_2) \right]ds
\geq \mu(M_1 + M_2) \int_0^1 K_2(t, s)b(s)ds = \omega_2(t), \quad t \in (0, 1).
\]
So, \( \overline{v}(t) > \omega_2(t) \), \( t \in (0, 1) \).

**Case 2.** If \( \| \overline{F} \| > 1 \). In a similar way to Case 1, we get \( \overline{u}(t) > \omega_1(t) \), \( \overline{v}(t) > \omega_2(t) \), \( t \in (0, 1) \).

**Case 3.** If \( \| \overline{F} \| \leq 1 \), \( \| \overline{F} \| \leq 1 \) and \( \| \overline{F} \| + \| \overline{F} \| > 1 \). We set
\[
J_1 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \overline{u}(t) > \omega_1(t) \}, \quad J_2 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \overline{u}(t) \leq \omega_1(t) \};
\]
\[
B_1 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \overline{v}(t) > \omega_2(t) \}, \quad B_2 = \{ t \in [0, 1] : \overline{v}(t) \leq \omega_2(t) \}.
\]
Similar to the proof of Case 1, we have \( J_1 = (0, 1), B_1 = (0, 1) \), i.e., \( \overline{u}(t) > \omega_1(t), \overline{v}(t) > \omega_2(t), t \in (0, 1) \). Thus, we have proved that \( (u, v) = (\overline{u} - \omega_1, \overline{v} - \omega_2) \) is a positive solution for the system (1).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. Define operator \( T^* : E \times E \to E \times E \) as follows:
\[
T(u, v)(t) = (T_1^*(u, v)(t), T_2^*(u, v)(t)),
\]
where
\[
T_1^*(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 K_1(t, s) a(s) F(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) ds,
\]
\[
T_2^*(u, v)(t) = \mu \int_0^1 K_2(t, s) b(s) G(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) ds.
\]
Firstly, by \( (S_3') \), we have
\[
\lim_{u+<\infty, v+\infty, u,v>0} \overline{G}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) = 0.
\]
Then, there exist \( L_1^* > 1 \) and \( \tilde{N}^* > 0 \), \( u + v \geq L_1^* \),
\[
\overline{G}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \leq \tilde{N}^*(u + v), \quad u + v \geq L_1^*.
\]
and for \( \lambda, \mu \) small enough, \( \tilde{N}^* \) satisfies

\[
\left[ (F'_{R^*} + M_1) \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) + \tilde{N} \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \int_0^1 e(s) (a(s) + b(s)) ds < 1,
\]

\[
\left[ \lambda (F_1 + M_1) \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) + \mu (G_1' + M_2) \left( 1 + D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) \right] \times \int_0^1 e(s) (a(s) + b(s)) ds < 1.
\]

where \( R^* \) is given next. Thus,

\[
\bar{G}_i (u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) < \tilde{N} (\|u\| + \|v\|), \quad u + v \geq L_1, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (32)
\]

Set \( R^* = L_1^* + 1 \) and \( \Omega^*_1 = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : \|u, v\| < R^* \} \). By the same discussion of Theorem 1, we get

\[
\|T(u, v)\| \leq \|u, v\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in (K \times K) \cap \partial \Omega^*_1.
\]

Obviously, (27) is also valid for Theorem 2. On the other hand, choose \( \bar{M}^* > 0 \) big enough such that for some \( t_* \in [0, 1 - \theta] \)

\[
(\lambda + \mu) \bar{M} \min \left\{ \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} k (t^*, s) a(s) ds, \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} k (t^*, s) b(s) ds \right\} \geq 1.
\]

By (S_3), we get

\[
\lim_{u + v \to +\infty} \frac{\bar{F}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)}{u + v} = \infty,
\]

\[
\lim_{u + v \to +\infty} \frac{\bar{G}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2)}{u + v} = \infty,
\]
Therefore, there exists $1 < L^*_2$ such that for any $u + v \geq L^*_2$ and
\[
\bar{F}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \geq \bar{M} \left( \max_{t \in J} u(t) + \max_{t \in J} v(t) \right), \quad \bar{G}(u - \omega_1, v - \omega_2) \geq \bar{M} \left( \max_{t \in J} u(t) + \max_{t \in J} v(t) \right).
\]

Choose $\frac{L^*_2}{M_0} < r^* < R^*$ and $\Omega_3^* = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : \|u, v\| < r^*\}$. For any $(u, v) \in (K \times K) \cap \partial \Omega_3^*$, similar to the same method of Theorem 1, we have
\[
\|T(u, v)\| \geq \|u, v\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in (K \times K) \cap \partial \Omega_3^*.
\]
Then Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 6.

4. An Example

Consider the singular semipositive three-point boundary-value problem system:

\[
\begin{cases}
-u'(t) = \lambda a(t) (f_1(u(t), v(t)) + g_1(u(t), v(t))), \\
-v'(t) = \mu b(t) (f_2(u(t), v(t)) + g_2(u(t), v(t))), \\
u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \frac{1}{2} u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \\
v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = \frac{1}{4} u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 0 < t < 1,
\end{cases}
\]

(33)

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are positive parameters and

\[
a_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}, \quad b(t) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{t}}, \quad \Phi_1(x) = x, \quad \Phi'_1(0) = 1,
\]

\[
f_1(u, v) =\begin{cases} 
\frac{5}{6} u^2 + 3v^6, & (u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), \\
u^3 + 3v^6 - 4(u - 1)e^{-2u}, & (u, v) \in [1, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty),
\end{cases}
\]

\[
f_2(u, v) = u^3v^5, \quad g_1(u, v) = \sqrt{u} + \cos^2(7v - 3),
\]
\[
g_2(u, v) = \begin{cases}
-\frac{u^3}{\sqrt[3]{v}} + 3e^{-\frac{2u^2}{\sqrt[3]{v}^2}}, & (u, v) \in [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1], \\
-\frac{u^3}{\sqrt[3]{v}} + 3e^{-\frac{2u^2}{\sqrt[3]{v}^2}} - 2(v - 1)e^{-v}, & (u, v) \in [0, +\infty) \times [1, +\infty).
\end{cases}
\]

It is easy to check that \((H_1), (H_2), (S_1), (S_2)\) hold. For any \(u \geq 0, v \geq 0\), we have
\[
f_1(u, v) \geq -4, \quad f_2(u, v) \geq 0, \quad g_1(u, v) \geq 0, \quad g_2(u, v) \geq -2,
\]
so, condition \((S_2)\) also holds. Then Theorem 1 shows that for \(\lambda > 0\) and \(\mu > 0\) small enough, system (33) has at least two positive solutions.
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