TOTAL VALUATION RINGS IN $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$

GUANGMING XIE, CHUNXIA LIU and ZIFEN HONG

School of Mathematics and Statistics Guangxi Normal University Guilin, 541006 P. R. China e-mail: gmxie@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn 2508606349@qq.com 1005124478@qq.com

Abstract

Let *V* be a total valuation ring of a skew field K , \mathbb{Q} be the additive group of the rational numbers, and $Aut(K)$ be the group of automorphisms of *K*. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{Q} \to Aut(K)$ be a group homomorphism, $K[\mathbb{Q}, \sigma]$ be the skew group ring of \mathbb{Q} over *K*, and $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ be its quotient ring. Let $R_0 = {\sum a_{r_i} x^{r_i} | a_{r_i} \in K, r_i \in \mathbb{Q}, r_i \ge 0}$ and $P = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r R_0$. Consider the natural map φ from R_{0p} to *K* and set $\widetilde{V} = \varphi^{-1}(V)$. It is shown that \widetilde{V} is a total valuation ring of $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W50.

Keywords and phrases: total valuation ring, invariant valuation ring, value group. Received June 15, 2024

2024 Scientific Advances Publishers

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 3.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/deed.en_US

2 GUANGMING XIE et al.

and it is characterized by x^r and *V*. If *V* is an invariant valuation ring, σ is classified into three types, in order to study the structure of $Γ_{\tilde{V}}$ (the value group of \widetilde{V}).

1. Introduction

Let *K* be a skew field and *V* be a total valuation ring of *K*. We assume that $V \neq K$ throughout this paper. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{Q} \to Aut(K)$ be a group homomorphism and $K[{\mathbb Q}, \sigma]$ be the skew group ring of ${\mathbb Q}$ over *K*. In [7], it was proved that *K*[] Q, σ had a quotient skew field *K*(Q, σ). In [1], the authors initiated an investigation of total valuation rings *K*(*x*, σ). It was shown that there were at least two total valuation rings in $K(x, \sigma)$. In [2], [5], [8] and [9], extensions of *V* in $K(x, \sigma)$ had been studied.

Let *Q* be a simple Artinian ring and σ be an automorphism of *Q*. Let $Q[x, \sigma]$ be the skew polynomial ring over *Q* in an indeterminate *x*. Then $Q[x, \sigma]$ has a quotient ring $Q(x, \sigma)$. In [6], the authors studied the noncommutative valuation rings in $Q(x, \sigma)$. In the case Q is a skew field and *V* is an invariant valuation ring, in order to study the structure of $Γ_{\tilde{V}}$, σ is classified into five types.

In this paper, we will construct the total valuation ring \tilde{V} in $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$. In [10], the authors give a complete classification of graded extensions in K (\mathbb{Q} , σ).

In [4], Wadsworth defined the Γ*^R* of a Dubrovin valuation ring *R* of a simple Artinian ring *Q* as follows; let $st(R) = {q \in U(Q) \vert qRq^{-1} = R}$, the stabilizer of *R* under the action of $U(Q)$ and $\Gamma_R = st(R)/U(R)$. If *R* is invariant in a skew field Q , then Γ_R coincides with the usual value group of *R*.

Let *V* be an invariant valuation ring of a skew field *K*, we classify σ into three types and give the complete structure of $st(\bar{V})$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some notations, definitions and known results. Let *R* be a ring, we denote the Jacobson radical of *R* by $J(R)$ and the units of *R* by $U(R)$. Set $\mathbb{Q}^+ = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} | r > 0 \}.$

Definition 2.1 ([3]). Let K be a skew field with subring V , for any $a \in K$, if $a \notin V$ implies $a^{-1} \in V$, then *V* is called a total valuation ring of *K*.

Definition 2.2 ([3])**.** Let *V* be a total valuation ring of a skew field *K*, we say that *V* is invariant if $kVk^{-1} = V$ for any non-zero $k \in K$.

Definition 2.3 ([3])**.** Let *V* be an invariant valuation ring of a skew field *K*, $\Gamma_V = U(K)/U(V)$ is called the value group of *V*.

Definition 2.4 ([4])**.** Let *V* be a total valuation ring of a skew field *K*. $st(V) = {k \in U(K) \mid kVk^{-1} = V}$ is called the stabilizer of *V* under the action of $U(K)$.

Set $R_0 = \left\{ \sum a_{r_i} x^{r_i} \middle| a_{r_i} \in K, r_i \in \mathbb{Q}, r_i \ge 0 \right\}$. We can easily get the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. For any $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l \in R_0$, there exists a natural *number m, such that* $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l \in K[x^{\overline{m}}, \sigma(\frac{1}{m})].$ $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_l \in K[x^{\frac{1}{m}}, \sigma(\frac{1}{m})]$

Lemma 2.6. R_0 *is an Ore ring and K*(\mathbb{Q}, σ) *is its quotient skew field.*

3. Construction of \widetilde{V}

Let *K* be a skew field and $\sigma : \mathbb{Q} \to Aut(K)$ be a group homomorphism. Let $R_0 = \{ \sum a_r x^r | a_r \in K, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \ge 0 \}$. For any $a \in K$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $x^r a = \sigma(r)(a)x^r$, by Lemma 2.6, R_0 has a quotient ring K (Q , σ).

Let $P = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r R_0$. Then *P* is a maximal ideal of R_0 . We can easily prove that *P* is localizable. Let $T = R_{0p} = \{fg^{-1} | f \in R_0, g \in R_0 \backslash P\}$ be the localization of R_0 at *P*. Then *T* is a total valuation ring of $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ with $J(T) = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r T$.

For any $\alpha = fg^{-1} \in T$, where $f = a_0 + a_n x^{r_1} + \cdots + a_{r_n} x^{r_n}$, $g = c_0 +$ $c_{s_1} x^{s_1} + \cdots + c_{s_m} x^{s_m}$ with $c_0 \neq 0$. We denote the map

$$
\varphi: T \to K
$$

by $\varphi(\alpha) = a_0 c_0^{-1}$. We start with the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. *With the notations above,* ϕ *is a ring epimorphism with* $ker φ = ∪_{r>0} x^rT$.

Proof. By using the Ore condition for $R_0 \setminus P$, it is easy to see that φ is well defined and a ring homomorphism. It is also clear that φ is an epimorphism and $\ker \varphi = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r T$ by the definition of φ .

Let *V* be a total valuation ring of *K*. Set $\tilde{V} = \varphi^{-1}(V) = V + J(T)$, the complete inverse image of *V* by φ.

Lemma 3.2. \widetilde{V} *is a total valuation ring of K*(\mathbb{Q}, σ).

Proof. For any non-zero $\alpha \in K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$, let $\alpha = fg^{-1}$, $f, g \in R_0$. We can write $f = f_1 x^r$ and $g = g_1 x^s$ with $f_1, g_1 \in R_0 \setminus P$ for some $r, s \ge 0$. So $fg^{-1} = f_1 x^{r-s} g_1^{-1}$. If $r - s > 0$, then $\alpha \in J(T) \subseteq \tilde{V}$. If $r - s < 0$, then $\alpha^{-1} \in J(T) \subseteq \tilde{V}$. Let $r - s = 0$ and $\varphi(\alpha) = a$. If $a \in V$, then $\alpha \in \tilde{V}$. If $a \notin V$, then $a^{-1} \in V$, $\varphi(\alpha^{-1}) = a^{-1} \in V$, so $\alpha^{-1} \in \tilde{V}$. Hence \tilde{V} is a total valuation ring of K (\mathbb{Q} , σ).

Set $V^* = V \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have:

Lemma 3.3. V^* *is an Ore set of* \widetilde{V} *and* $T = \widetilde{V}V^* = {\alpha c^{-1} | \alpha \in \widetilde{V}},$ $c \in V^*$.

Proof. For any $\alpha \in T$, there exists $c \in V^*$ with $\varphi(\alpha)c \in V$. Then $\varphi(\alpha c) = \varphi(\alpha) c \in V, \alpha c \in \tilde{V}$. Set $\beta = \alpha c, \alpha = \beta c^{-1}$. For any $\gamma \in \tilde{V}$, $d \in V^*$, $d^{-1}\gamma \in T$. Hence there exist $c \in V^*$ and $\beta \in \tilde{V}$ with $d^{-1}\gamma = \beta c^{-1}$, i.e., $\gamma c = d\beta$. Therefore, V^* is a right Ore set of \tilde{V} . Similarly, we can prove that V^* is a left Ore set of \tilde{V} . Now it is clear that $T = \widetilde{V}_V^*$

Now we consider the Jacobson radical of \tilde{V} and its residue skew field.

Lemma 3.4. $J(\widetilde{V}) = J(V) + J(T)$ and $\widetilde{V}/J(\widetilde{V}) \cong V/J(V)$.

Proof. Let *I* be a maximal right ideal of \tilde{V} . Then $I \supseteq J(T)$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{V}/J(T) \cong V$. Hence $J(\widetilde{V}) = J(V) + J(T)$ and $\widetilde{V}/J(\widetilde{V}) \cong$ $V/J(V)$.

Since $x^r a = \sigma(r) (a) x^r$ for any $a \in K$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sigma(r)$ naturally extends to an automorphism of $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is the conjugation by x^r . We denote it by the same symbol $σ(r)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, *V be a total valuation ring of K. Then the following are equivalent*:

- (1) $\sigma(r)$ $(\widetilde{V}) = \widetilde{V}$;
- (2) $x^r \in st(\widetilde{V})$;
- (3) $\sigma(r)(V) = V$.

Proof.

(1) ⇔ (2): This is clear from the definition of σ(*r*).

$$
(1) \Rightarrow (3): \sigma(r)(V) = \sigma(r)(\widetilde{V} \cap K) = \sigma(r)(\widetilde{V}) \cap \sigma(r)(K) = \widetilde{V} \cap K = V.
$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Obviously, $\sigma(r)$ (*J* (*T*)) = *J* (*T*), $\sigma(r)$ (\widetilde{V}) = $\sigma(r)$ ($V + J(T)$) =

 $\sigma(r) (V) + \sigma(r) (J(T)) = V + J(T) = \widetilde{V}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let V be a total valuation ring of K. Then*

$$
(1) \text{ st } (V) = \text{st}(\widetilde{V}) \cap K;
$$

(2) Let $\alpha = fg^{-1}$ be any non-zero element in $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ with $f = a_0 +$ $a_{n_1}x^{n_1} + \cdots + a_{n_n}x^{n_n} \in R_0$ and $g = c_0 + c_{s_1}x^{s_1} + \cdots + c_{s_m}x^{s_m} \in R_0$, $a_0 \neq 0$, $c_0 \neq 0$. Then $\alpha \tilde{V} = a_0 c_0^{-1} \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V} a_0 c_0^{-1} = \tilde{V} \alpha$. In particular, $\alpha \in st(\tilde{V})$ *if and only if* $a_0 c_0^{-1} \in st(V)$.

Proof. (1) We note that $\widetilde{V} = V + J(T)$ and $kJ(T) = J(T)$ for any $0 \neq k \in K$. Then $st(V) \subseteq st(\widetilde{V}) \cap K$. Let $k \in st(\widetilde{V}) \cap K$. Then

 $k(V + J(T)) = (V + J(T))k$. Hence $kV = Vk$, $k \in st(V)$. Therefore $st(V) = st(\widetilde{V}) \cap K.$

(2) Since $\varphi(\alpha) = a_0 c_0^{-1}, \ \alpha - a_0 c_0^{-1} \in J(T)$ and so $c_0 a_0^{-1} a - 1 \in J(T)$ $\subseteq J(\widetilde{V})$ by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $c_0 a_0^{-1} \alpha \in U(\widetilde{V})$ and thus $\alpha \widetilde{V} = a_0 c_0^{-1} \widetilde{V}$. Similarly, we can get $\widetilde{V}\alpha = \widetilde{V} a_0 c_0^{-1}$.

Next we consider the case where *V* is an invariant valuation ring.

Proposition 3.7. Let V be an invariant valuation ring of K. Then \tilde{V} *is an invariant valuation ring of* $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ *if and only if* $\sigma(r)(V) = V$ for *any* $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$.

Proof. Let $P = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r R_0$ and $S = R_0 \setminus P$. For any $0 \neq \alpha \in K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$, $\alpha = x^r f g^{-1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f, g \in S$. Hence the statement follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.5, and 3.6.

The valuation ring \widetilde{V} can be characterized as a total valuation ring R with one of the equivalent properties.

Theorem 3.8. *Let V be a total valuation ring of K and R be a total valuation ring of* $K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)$ *with* $R \cap K = V$. Then the following are *equivalent*:

- (1) $R = \tilde{V}$:
- (2) $x^r a \in J(R)$ for any $a \in K$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$;

(3) $1 + x^{r_1}a_{r_1} + \cdots + x^{r_n}a_{r_n} \in U(R)$ for any $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+, a_{r_i} \in K$.

Proof.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: For any $a \in K$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $x^r a \in J(T) \subseteq J(\widetilde{V})$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3): x^{r_i} a_{r_i} \in J(R)$, then $x^{r_1} a_{r_1} + \cdots + x^{r_n} a_{r_n} \in J(R)$. Hence $1 + x'^1 a_{r_1} + \cdots + x^{r_n} a_{r_n} \in U(R).$

(3) \Rightarrow (1): For any $a \in K$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $x^r a = (1 + x^r a) - 1 \in R$. We shall prove $\bigcup_{r>0} x^r T \subseteq R$. Let $x^s f g^{-1} \in \bigcup_{r>0} x^r T$, where $f = a_0 + x^n a_{n}$ $\cdots + x^{r_n} a_{r_n} \in R_0$, $g = c_0 + x^{t_1} c_{t_1} + \cdots + x^{t_m} c_{t_m}$ with $c_0 \neq 0$, $s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. So there is $g_1 \in R_0$ such that $g = g_1 c_0$ and $g_1 \in U(R)$ by the assumption. Furthermore, there is a non-zero element $d \in V$ such that the constant coefficient of dfc_0^{-1} belongs to *V*, so $dfc_0^{-1} \in R$. Hence, it follows that $x^{s}fg^{-1} = (x^{s}d^{-1})(dfc_{0}^{-1})g_{1}^{-1} \in R$. Therefore, we proved $\bigcup_{r>0} x^{r}T \subseteq R$ and so $\widetilde{V} \subseteq R$. Hence *T* and *R* are both $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V})$ -bimodules, which implies that either $T \supsetneq R$ or $R \supseteq T$. The latter case shows that $V = R \cap K \supseteq T$ *T* ∩ *K* = *K*, a contradiction. Thus we have $T \supsetneq R$. Assume that $R \supsetneq \tilde{V}$. Then $K \supseteq \varphi(R) \supseteq \varphi(\widetilde{V}) = V$, since $\widetilde{V} = \varphi^{-1}(V)$. Let $k \in K \setminus V$ with $\varphi(\alpha) = k$ for some $\alpha \in R$. Then $0 = \varphi(\alpha) - k = \varphi(\alpha - k)$ implies $\alpha - k \in \text{ker } \varphi = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r T \subseteq R$. Thus $k \in R \cap K = V$, a contradiction. Hence $R = \widetilde{V}$.

Corollary 3.9. *There are no total valuation rings R of K*(Q, σ) *with* $R \cap K = V$ and either $R \supsetneq \widetilde{V}$ or $\widetilde{V} \supsetneq R$.

Proof. First assume that $R \cap K = V$. If $\widetilde{V} \supseteq R$, then $J(R) \supseteq J(\widetilde{V})$ $\supseteq J(T)$. So $R \supseteq V + J(T) = \tilde{V}$ and hence $R = \tilde{V}$. If $R \supseteq \tilde{V}$, then as in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we have $T \supsetneq R$, then $J(R) \supseteq J(T) = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r$ *T* \supseteq *x^rK* for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. Hence $R = \widetilde{V}$ by Theorem 3.8.

Let *V* be an invariant valuation ring of *K* and $k \in U(K)$. We write \overline{k} for the image of *k* in $\Gamma_V = U(K)/U(V)$. For any $\alpha \in st(\tilde{V}) = {\alpha \in U}$

 $(K (\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)) | \alpha \widetilde{V} \alpha^{-1} = \widetilde{V} \}$. Let $\overline{\alpha}$ denote the image of α in $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = st(\widetilde{V})/U(\widetilde{V})$. Let $P = \bigcup_{r>0} x^r R_0$, $S = R \setminus P$. Also, let $A = \{fg^{-1} | f \in S, g \in S\}.$ Note that $U(K) \subseteq A$ and $U(K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} x^r A$. Let $M = {r \in \mathbb{Q} | \sigma(r)(V) = V}.$ Obviously, *M* is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}.$ Using these notations, we have:

Theorem 3.10. *Let V be an invariant valuation ring of a skew field* $K, \sigma : \mathbb{Q} \to Aut(K)$ *be a group homomorphism.*

(1) If
$$
M = \mathbb{Q}
$$
, then $st(\widetilde{V}) = U(K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma))$ and $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{\overline{k} \overline{x^r} | k \in U$
(K)*) with* $\overline{x^r k} = \overline{\sigma(r)(k)x^r}$.

(2) If $M = \{0\}$, then $st(\widetilde{V}) = A$ and $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = \Gamma_V$.

(3) *If* $M \neq \{0\}$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}$, then $st(\widetilde{V}) = \bigcup_{r \in M} x^r A$ and $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = \bigcup_{r \in M} \{ \overline{k} \overline{x^r} | k \in U(K) \}.$

Proof. We note that $A \subseteq st(\tilde{V})$ by Lemma 3.6, since *V* is an invariant.

(1) Since $M = \mathbb{Q}, \tilde{V}$ is invariant by Proposition 3.7. Hence $st(\widetilde{V}) = U(K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma))$ and so $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{ \overline{k} \overline{x^r} | k \in U(K) \}$ by Lemma 3.6.

(2) Suppose that $x^r \widetilde{V} x^{-r} = \widetilde{V}$ for some $r \neq 0$. Then $\sigma(r)(V) = \sigma(r)$ $(\widetilde{V} \cap K) = \sigma(r)(\widetilde{V}) \cap \sigma(r)(K) = \widetilde{V} \cap K = V$, a contradiction. So $x^r \notin st(\widetilde{V})$ for any $r \neq 0$. Let $\alpha = x^r fg^{-1} \in U(K(\mathbb{Q}, \sigma)) \setminus A$ with $f \in S, g \in S$. Suppose that $\alpha \tilde{V} = \tilde{V}\alpha$, then $x^r \tilde{V} = \tilde{V}x^r$ by Lemma 3.6, a contradiction. Hence $st(\widetilde{V}) = A$ and so $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}} = \Gamma_V$ by Lemma 3.6.

Similarly, we can prove (3) as in (2).

Let $r \neq 0$, for any $k \in U(K)$, $\overline{k} = \overline{\sigma(r)(k)}$ if and only if $kV = \sigma(r)(k)V$. So the following corollary can be obtained by Theorem 3.10, which shows the conditions for $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}$ to be abelian.

Corollary 3.11. *Let V be an invariant valuation ring of a skew field* K , σ : $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Aut(K)$ *be a group homomorphism.*

(1) *Suppose that* $M = \mathbb{Q}$ *. Then* $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}$ *is abelian if and only if* Γ_{V} *is abelian and kV* = $\sigma(r)(k)V$ *for every k* $\in K$ *and r* $\in \mathbb{Q}$.

(2) Let $M \neq \{0\}$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}$ is abelian if and only if Γ_V is *abelian and* $kV = \sigma(r)(k)V$ *for any* $k \in K$ *and* $r \in M$.

We end this paper with one example.

Example. Let $K = F(y_r | r \in \mathbb{Q})$ be the rational function field over a field *F* in indeterminates $y_r (r \in \mathbb{Q})$. Group homomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{Q} \to Aut(K)$ is determined by the following: for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}, \sigma(r)(a) = a$ for all $a \in F, \sigma(r)(y_s) = y_{r+s}$ for any y_s .

(1) Let $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Q})}$, which is a totally ordered abelian group by lexicographical ordering. We define a valuation v_1 of K as follows: $v_1(a) = 0$ for any non-zero $a \in F$ and for any non-zero homogeneous element $\alpha = y_{r_1}^{s_1} y_{r_2}^{s_2} \cdots y_{r_n}^{s_n} (r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n), v_1(\alpha) = (s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ *s r* $r_1^{\mathcal{S}_1} \mathcal{Y}_{r_2}^{\mathcal{S}_2} \cdots \mathcal{Y}_{r_n}^{\mathcal{S}_n} (r_1 \langle r_2 \langle \cdots \langle r_n \rangle, v_1)$ $S_1^{s_1} S_2^{s_2} \cdots S_{r_n}^{s_n} (r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n), v_1(\alpha) = (s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the r_j component of $v_1(\alpha)$ is $s_j(1 \le j \le n)$ and the other components of it are all zeroes. Let $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_m$ be any element in $F[y_r | r \in \mathbb{Q}]$, where β*ⁱ* are non-zero homogeneous elements, we define $v_1(\beta) = \min\{v_1(\beta_1)\mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. As usual, we can extend the map v_1 to $K \setminus \{0\}$, which is a valuation of *K*. Let V_1 be the valuation ring of *K* determined by v_1 . Since $\sigma(t)$ is just shifting and for any $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in K$,

n n s r s r $V_1 \alpha \beta^{-1} = V_1 y_{r_1}^{s_1} y_{r_2}^{s_2} \cdots y_{r_n}$ $N_1 \alpha \beta^{-1} = V_1 y_{r_1}^{s_1} y_{r_2}^{s_2} \cdots y_{r_n}^{s_n}$ for some $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n \in \mathbb{Q}, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ Hence $\sigma(t) (V_1) = V_1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $M = \mathbb{Q}$. \widetilde{V}_1 is invariant by Theorem 3.10. $\sigma(1) (y_0) V_1 = y_1 V_1 \neq y_0 V_1$, so $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}_1}$ is not abelian.

(2) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$. A valuation v_2 of K is determined by the following: $v_2(a) = 0$ for any non-zero $a \in F$, $v_2(y_r) = 1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let V_2 be the valuation ring of K determined by v_2 . Then, it is easily seen that $M = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sigma(r) (k) V_2 = k V_2$ for any $k \in K$. Hence \widetilde{V}_2 is invariant and $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}_2}$ is abelian by Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.11.

(3) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$. A valuation v_3 of K is determined by the following: $v_3(a) = 0$ for any non-zero $a \in F$, $v_3(y_r) = 0$ for any $r \neq 0$, $v_3(y_0) = 1$. Let V_3 be the valuation ring of *K* determined by v_3 . For any $r \neq 0$, $\sigma(r)(y_0) = y_r, \ \sigma(r)(y_{-r}) = y_0.$ Hence $M = \{0\}, \ st(\widetilde{V}_3) = A \text{ and } \Gamma_{\widetilde{V}_3} = \Gamma_V.$

(4) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$. A valuation v_4 of K is determined by the following: $v_4(a) = 0$ for any non-zero $a \in F$, $v_4(y_r) = 0$ for any $r \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $v_4(y_n) = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let V_4 be the valuation ring of *K* determined by v_4 . For any $r \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(r)(y_0) = y_r$, which implies that $r \notin M$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(n)(y_s) = y_{n+s}$, which implies that $\sigma(n)(V_4) = V_4$. Hence $M = \mathbb{Z}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(n) (k) V_4 = kV_4$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{\widetilde{V}_4}$ is abelian.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11161005) and Guangxi Science Foundation (0991020).

References

 [1] H. H. Brungs and M. Schöder, Valuation rings in Ore extensions, J. Algebra 235(2) (2001), 665-680.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.2000.8484

- [2] S. Kobayashi, H. Marubayashi, N. Popescu and C. Vraciu, Total valuation rings of $K(X, \sigma)$ containing *K*, Com. Algebra 30(11) (2002), 5535-5546.
- [3] H. Marubayashi, H. Miyamoto and A. Ueda, Noncommutative valuation rings and semi-hereditary orders, *K*-Monographs in Math.3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [4] A. R. Wadsworth, Dubrovin valuation rings and Henselization, Math. Ann. 283 (1989), 301-328.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01446437

- [5] G. Xie, Y. Chen, H. Marubayashi and Y. Wang, A new classification of graded extensions in a skew Laurent polynomial ring, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 40(1) (2010), 37-44.
- [6] G. Xie, S. Kobayashi, H. Marubayashi, N. Popescu and C. Vraciu, Noncommutative valuation rings of the quotient Artinian ring of a skew polynomial ring, Algebra. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), 57-68.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-004-5766-y

- [7] G. Xie, J. Liang and M. Wang, Quotient skew fields of skew group rings of torsion free additive groups over a skew field (to appear in FJMS).
- [8] H. Marubayashi and G. Xie, A classification of graded extensions in a skew Laurent polynomial ring, J. Math. Soc. Japan 60(2) (2008), 423-443.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/06020423

 [9] H. Marubayashi and G. Xie, A classification of graded extensions in a skew Laurent polynomial ring, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 61(4) (2009), 1111-1130.

g

[10] G. Xie, M. Wang and J. Liang, Graded extensions in *K*[*Q*, σ] (Preprint).