Journal of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and Applications Volume 73, 2023, Pages 17-64 Available at http://scientificadvances.co.in DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18642/jmsaa\_7100122278

# REGULARITY OF EULER-BERNOULLI AND KIRCHHOFF-LOVE THERMOELASTIC PLATES WITH FRACTIONAL COUPLING

# FREDY MAGLORIO SOBRADO SUÁREZ and LESLY DAIANA BARBOSA SOBRADO

Department of Mathematics The Federal University of Technological of Paraná Brazil e-mail: fredy@utfpr.edu.br

Institute of Mathematics Federal University of Rio of Janeiro Brazil

## Abstract

In this work, we present the study of the regularity of the solutions of the abstract system (1) that includes the Euler-Bernoulli ( $\omega = 0$ ) and Kirchhoff-

Love  $\left(\omega>0\right)$  thermoelastic plates, we consider for both fractional couplings

given by  $A^{\sigma}\theta$  and  $A^{\sigma}u_t$ , where A is a strictly positive and self-adjoint linear 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A01, 35Q74, 35B35, 35B65, 35G05. Keywords and phrases: asymptotic behaviour, stability, regularity, Gevrey sharp classes, analyticity, Euler-Bernoulli and Kirchhoff-Love plates. Received April 16, 2023

© 2023 Scientific Advances Publishers

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 3.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/deed.en\_US



operator and the parameter  $\sigma \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ . Our research stems from the work of [1], [4], and [8]. Our contribution was to directly determine the Gevrey sharp classes: for  $\omega = 0$ ,  $s_{01} > \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1}$  and  $s_{02} > \sigma$  when  $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  and  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  respectively. And  $s_{\omega} > \frac{1}{4(\sigma - 1)}$  for case  $\omega > 0$  when  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$ . This work also contains direct proofs of the analyticity of the corresponding semigroups  $e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ . In the case  $\omega > 0$  the semigroup  $e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  is analytic for the parameter  $\sigma \in [5/4, 3/2]$ . The abstract system is given by:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \omega A u_{tt} + A^2 u - A^{\sigma} \theta = 0, \\ \theta_t + A \theta + A^{\sigma} u_t = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\omega \ge 0$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(\mathbf{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complex Hilbert space, and let A self-adjoint, positive definite (unbounded) operator on the complex Hilbert space  $\mathbf{H}$ ,

$$A:\mathfrak{D}(A)\subseteq\mathbf{H}\rightarrow\mathbf{H}$$

The operator  $A^r$  is positive seft-adjoint for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , bounded for  $r \leq 0$ , and the embedding

$$\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2}),$$

is continuous for  $r_1 > r_2$ . For fixed

$$\sigma \leq \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } \omega \geq 0.$$
 (2)

We consider the following abstract system hyperbolic and parabolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \omega A u_{tt} + A^2 u - A^{\sigma} \theta = 0, \\ \theta_t + A \theta + A^{\sigma} u_t = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Observe that no restriction  $\sigma \ge 0$  is assumed.

The properties of the asymptotic behaviour and regularity of the semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  associated with the abstract system (3), have been extensively studied in recent years. Specifically speaking, in the work [1] they studied the abstract system that includes the thermo-elastic Euler Bernoulli plates ( $\omega = 0$ ) with fractional coupling given by  $A^{\sigma}\theta$  and  $A^{\sigma}u_t$  and the parameter  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ , the authors using the semigroup technique show that the system is exponentially stable if only if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ , analyticity is also proved if only if  $\sigma = 1$  and they prove that the semigroup is polynomially stable when  $0 \le \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  with rate  $t^{-1}$ . In the work [4], they study the system (3) considering the parameter  $\sigma \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ , for the case  $\omega = 0$  they show that  $S_0(t)$  decays polynomially to zero as  $t^{-\frac{1}{1-2\sigma}}$  and this rate is optimal. They also prove using the energy method that the system is exponentially stable when  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ . For the case  $\omega > 0$ , the authors show that the corresponding semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t)$  is exponentially stable if only if  $\frac{3}{2} \ge \sigma \ge 1$ . Also, since  $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma < 1$ determine the optimal polynomial decay rate  $(t^{-\frac{1}{4-4\sigma}})$ .

In 2019 and 2021, two more complete papers emerged [21, 8]. The first is dedicated to the study of the asymptotic behaviour of two thermoelastic plate systems, the first modelled with Fourier's law, as is the case of the system (3), and the second model with Cattaneo's law. The model thermoelastic plates with inertial rotation term given by:

$$\begin{cases} \rho u_{tt} + \omega A^{\gamma} u_{tt} + \eta A u - m A^{\alpha} \theta = 0, \\ c \theta_t + m A^{\alpha} u_t + \kappa A^{\beta} \theta = 0, \\ u(0) = u(0), \quad u_t(0) = v_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0, \end{cases}$$

where A is a self-adjoint, positive definite operator on a complex Hilbert space H,  $\omega$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $m \neq 0$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ . The stability analysis of the model with Fourier's law is done by applying semigroup techniques, the authors determine regions based on the 3 parameters  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  to study, the exponential decay and polynomial decay with optimal rate. In the second paper of 2021, Kuang et al. [8] assume that

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in E = \left[0, \frac{\beta+1}{2}\right] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1],$$

this work is dedicated to regularity of the semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t)$  for  $\omega \ge 0$ . The case  $\omega = 0$  is equivalent  $\gamma = 0$ . In this work, the authors divide the region E into 3 parts where the associated semigroups are analytic, of Gevrey classes of a specific order, and non-smoothing, respectively. Furthermore, detailed spectral analysis shows that the Gevrey-class orders are sharp under the right conditions. They also show that the orders of polynomial stability obtained in [21] are optimal. In all their proofs the authors use contradictory arguments. Our two models studied here are part of the family of models given in [8], that of the Euler Bernoulli thermoelastic plate, it is the case of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\frac{\sigma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and that of the Kirchhoff-Love thermoelastic plate, is the case  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\frac{\sigma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . The proofs presented in this research are direct and more friendly for readers to understand the technique used here.

Several researchers year after year have devoted their attention to the study of the asymptotic behaviour and the regularity of the solutions of the thermoelastic system of plates. Regarding the analyticity of the semigroup for the Euler-Bernoulli model, one of the first results was established by Liu and Renardy [15] in the case of bounded and articulated boundary conditions. Subsequently, Liu and Liu, [14], and Lasiecka and Triggiani [9, 10, 11, 12] demonstrated other analyticity results under various boundary conditions. More research in this direction can be found at [6, 20, 23].

In more recent research from 2020 Tebou et al. [7] studied thermoelastic plates considering the fractional rotational inertial force  $(\gamma(-\Delta)^{\tau}u_{tt})$  for the parameter  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . In  $\Omega$ , limited open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , with smooth enough boundary In this research, the authors prove that the semigroup associated with the system is the Gevrey class s for each  $s > \frac{2-\tau}{2-4\tau}$  for both: the Hinged plate/Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions and Clamped plate/Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions when the parameter  $\tau$  lies in the interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ , also show that the semigroup S(t) is exponentially stable for Hinged boundary conditions, for  $\tau$  in the interval [0, 1] and finish their investigation, constructing a counterexample, that, under hinged boundary conditions, the semigroup is not analytic, for all  $\tau$  in the interval (0, 1). To determine the Gevrey class of S(t) using the domain method of the frequency, the appropriate decompositions of the components of the system, and the use of Lions' interpolation inequalities. More recent research in this direction can be found at [18, 21, 25].

This article is organized as follows: in Section 2, we study the wellposedness of the system (3) through semigroup theory. We leave our main contributions for the third section where we analyze the regularity, which is subdivided into two subsections. Subsection 3.1 is dedicated to the analyticity and lack of analyticity for the two cases  $\omega = 0$  and  $\omega > 0$ , for  $\omega = 0$  (Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate) we show that the semigroup  $S_0(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_0}$  is analytic when the parameter  $\sigma = 1$  and  $S_0(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_0}$  is not analytic when the parameter  $\sigma \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \frac{3}{2}]$ . For case  $\omega > 0$ (Kirchhoff-Love thermoelastic plate), we show that the semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  is analytic when the parameter  $\sigma \in [\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  is not analytic when the parameter  $\sigma \in [0, \frac{5}{4})$ . In the last Subsection 3.2, we determine the families of Gevrey sharp classes of the semigroup associated with the system (3), for the Euler-Bernoulli plates  $(\omega = 0)$  we have the classes  $s_{01} > \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1}$  when the parameter  $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and  $s_{02} > \sigma$  when the parameter  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$ . For thermoelastic Kirchhoff-Love plates  $(\omega > 0)$  we have the Gevrey class  $s_{\omega} > \frac{1}{4(\sigma - 1)}$ when the parameter  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$ . We end this paper with a remark about the exponential decay of  $S(t)_{\omega \geq 0} = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ .

In our research, we apply the frequency domain method, spectral analysis of the operator  $(-\Delta)^{\sigma}$  for  $\sigma \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$  and we strongly use the interpolation inequality, see Theorem 4.

## 2. Setting of the Semigroups

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the compactly nested family of Hilbert spaces

$$\mathbf{H}^r = \mathfrak{D}(A^{\frac{r}{2}}), \quad \langle u, v \rangle_r = \langle A^{\frac{r}{2}}u, A^{\frac{r}{2}}v \rangle, \quad \|u\|_r = \|A^{\frac{r}{2}}u\|.$$

For r > 0, it is understood that  $\mathbf{H}^{-r}$  denotes the completion of the domain, so  $\mathbf{H}^{-r}$  is the dual space of  $\mathbf{H}^{r}$ . the subscript r will be always omitted whenever zero. With this notation for  $\omega$  positive, we can extend the operator  $I + \omega A$  in the following sense:

$$(I + \omega A)$$
:  $\mathbf{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{-1}$ ,

defined by

$$\langle (I + \omega A)z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1} \times \mathbf{H}^1} = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle + \omega \langle A^{1/2}z_1, A^{1/2}z_2 \rangle, \tag{4}$$

for  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{H}^1$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in the Hilbert space **H**. Note that this operator is an isometric operator when we consider the equivalent norm in the space  $\mathbf{H}^1: (\|z\|^2 + \omega \|z\|_1^2)^{1/2} = \|z\|_{\mathbf{H}^1}.$ 

Finally, we define the family of phase spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega} : \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} & \text{if } \omega = 0, \\ \\ \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{H}^1 \times \mathbf{H} & \text{if } \omega > 0, \end{cases}$$
(5)

endowed with the Hilbert product norms

$$\|(u, v, \theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}^{2} \coloneqq \begin{cases} \|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2} & \text{if } \omega = 0, \\ \|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2} & \text{if } \omega > 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

**Remark 1.** Throughout the paper, Cauchy-Schwartz, Young and Poincaré inequalities will be tacitly used in several occasions.

Taking  $v = u_t$  an considering  $U = (u(t), v(t), \theta(t))$ , we rewrite system (3) as the ODE in  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}U(t) = \mathbb{A}_{\omega}U(t),$$

where the linear operator  $\,\mathbb{A}_{\omega}\,$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{A}_{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (I + \omega A)^{-1} (-A^{2}u + A^{\sigma}\theta) \\ -A\theta - A^{\sigma}v \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

with domains

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{A}_{0}) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_{0} \middle| \begin{array}{c} v \in \mathbf{H}^{2} \\ -u + A^{\sigma - 2}\theta \in \mathbf{H}^{4} \\ -\theta - A^{\sigma - 1}v \in \mathbf{H}^{2} \end{cases}, \quad \omega = 0, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{A}_{\omega}) := \begin{cases} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{cases} \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega} \middle| \begin{array}{c} v \in \mathbf{H}^{2} \\ -u + A^{\sigma - 2}\theta \in \mathbf{H}^{3} \\ -\theta - A^{\sigma - 1}v \in \mathbf{H}^{2} \end{cases}, \quad \omega > 0.$$
(9)

**Theorem 2.** The operator  $\mathbb{A}_{\omega}$  is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semi-group

$$S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}} : \mathcal{H}_{\omega} \to \mathcal{H}_{\omega},$$

associated with the system (3) for  $\omega \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}$ .

**Proof.** See Theorem 2.3 ([4]).

Remark 3. The operator  $\mathbb{A}_\omega$  does not generate a contraction semigroup whenever

$$\sigma = \frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

See Remark 2.4 [4].

**Theorem 4** (Interpolation). Let  $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ . Then there exists a constant  $L = L(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that

$$\|A^{\beta}u\| \le L \|A^{\alpha}u\|_{\gamma-\alpha}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\gamma-\alpha}} \cdot \|A^{\gamma}u\|_{\gamma-\alpha}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha}},$$
(10)

for every  $u \in \mathfrak{D}(A^{\gamma})$ .

**Proof.** See Theorem 5.34 ([5]).

In what follows, C,  $C_{\varepsilon}$ , and  $C_{\delta}$  will denote a positive constant that assumes different values in different places.

# 3. Regularity of Thermoelastic Euler-Bernoulli and Kirchhoff-Love Plates

This subsection will be dedicated to the study of the analyticity and the determination of the Gevrey sharp class for  $\omega = 0$  and for  $\omega > 0$  of the semigroup  $S(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  the study will be approached using the frequency domain characterization of semigroup properties and spectral theory, proofs using direct argument are prioritized.

First, for case  $\omega = 0$ : Note that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F = (f, g, h) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , then the solution  $U = (u, v, \theta) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{A}_0)$  of the stationary system  $(i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_0)U = F$  can be written by

$$i\lambda u - v = f$$
 in  $\mathbf{H}^2$ , (11)

$$i\lambda v + A^2 u - A^{\sigma} \theta = g \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{H},$$
 (12)

$$i\lambda\theta + A\theta + A^{\sigma}v = h$$
 in **H**, (13)

we have to

$$\|\theta\|_{1}^{2} = \operatorname{Re}\langle (i\lambda - \mathbb{A}_{0})U, U \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle F, U \rangle \leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}.$$
 (14)

Second, for case  $\omega > 0$ : Now, note that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F = (f, g, h) \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ , then the solution  $U = (u, v, \theta) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{A}_{\omega})$  of the stationary system  $(i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_{\omega})U = F$  can be written by

$$i\lambda u - v = f \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{H}^2, \tag{15}$$

$$i\lambda(I+\omega A)v + A^2u - A^{\sigma}\theta = (I+\omega A)g$$
 in  $\mathbf{H}^{-1}$ , (16)

$$i\lambda\theta + A\theta + A^{\sigma}v = h$$
 in **H**. (17)

Now, we have to

$$\|\theta\|_{1}^{2} = \operatorname{Re}\langle (i\lambda - \mathbb{A}_{\omega})U, U \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle F, U \rangle \leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}.$$
(18)

# 3.1. On the analyticity of $S(t) = e^{t \mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$

In this subsection, we study the analyticity and the lack of analyticity and the semigroup associated with the system (3), then we present a theorem that characterizes the analyticity via semigroup theory of the book of Liu-Zheng [17] (Theorem 1.3.3), and also some previous results that will be used in this section.

**Theorem 5** (see [17]). Let  $S(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}}$  be  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}) \supseteq \{i\lambda/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \equiv i\mathbb{R}.$$
(19)

Then S(t) is analytic if and only if

$$\limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \|\lambda (i\lambda I - \mathbb{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty$$
(20)

holds.

26

**Remark 6.** Note that to show the condition (20) it is enough to show that: Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$ , satisfy the inequality

$$|\lambda| \frac{\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}}} \le C_{\delta} \Leftrightarrow |\lambda| \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
(21)

Next, we will show two lemmas that will be fundamental to achieving our results.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > 0$ , satisfy

$$\lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} \sup \|(i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_{\omega})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega})} < \infty \quad for \quad \begin{cases} \omega = 0 \quad and \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}, \\ \omega > 0 \quad and \quad 1 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}$$
(22)

**Proof.** Let's split the proof into two cases:  $\omega = 0$  and  $\omega > 0$ .

**Case 1:**  $\omega = 0$ . Similarly to the equivalence given in the observation (6) to show  $(22)_1$ , it suffices to show that

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2} \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}.$$
 (23)

As  $0 < \frac{1}{2}$ , applying continuous immersions and estimative (14), we will have  $\|\theta\|^2 \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ , therefore it remains to show that

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}.$$

Taking the duality product between Equation (12) and u and using the Equation (11) taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{2}^{2} &= \langle v, i\lambda u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, u \rangle \\ &= \|v\|^{2} + \langle v, f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, u \rangle, \end{split}$$

then

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|v\|^{2}.$$
(24)

Taking the duality product between Equation (13) and  $A^{-\sigma}v$ , using the Equation (12) and now taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\|v\|^{2} = \|\theta\|^{2} - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}u \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle A^{-\sigma}\theta, g \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\sigma}v \rangle,$$

considering  $\sigma \ge \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\frac{3}{2} - \sigma \le 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2} - \sigma \le 0$ , the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-

Schwartz and Young, for  $\,\epsilon>0\,$  exists  $\,C_{\epsilon}>0\,$  which does not depend on  $\lambda$  such that

$$\|v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$
 (25)

Using (25) in (24), we have

$$||u||_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon ||u||_{2}^{2} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2},$$

then

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$
 (26)

Finally, using (26) in (25), finish proof this is the case.

**Case 2:**  $\omega > 0$ . Similarly to the equivalence given in the observation (6), to show  $(22)_2$ , it suffices to show that

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2} \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}.$$
(27)

As  $0 < \frac{1}{2}$ , applying continuous immersions and estimative (18), we will have  $\|\theta\|^2 \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}$ , therefore it remains to show that

$$||u||_{2}^{2} + ||v||_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}.$$

Taking the duality product between Equation (16) and u and using the Equation (15) taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{2}^{2} &= \langle (I + \omega A)v, \, i\lambda u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \, A^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle \langle I + \omega A \rangle g, \, u \rangle \\ &= \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + \langle ((I + \omega A)v, \, f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \, A^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle (I + \omega A)g, \, u \rangle \end{split}$$

28

then

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2}.$$
(28)

Taking the duality product between Equation (17) and  $A^{-\sigma}(I + \omega A)v$ , using the Equation (16) and now taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} &= \langle A^{-\sigma}\theta, -A^{2}u + A^{\sigma}\theta + (I + \omega A)g \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\sigma}v \rangle + \omega \langle h, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle \\ &= \|\theta\|^{2} - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}u \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle A^{-\sigma}\theta, g \rangle \\ &+ \omega \langle \theta, A^{1-\sigma}g \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\sigma}v \rangle + \omega \langle h, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle. \end{split}$$

Considering  $\sigma \ge 1$ , we have  $\frac{3}{3} - \sigma \le 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - \sigma \le \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{3}{2} - \sigma \le \frac{1}{2}$  the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  which does not depend on  $\lambda$  such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} + \varepsilon [\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2}] \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$
(29)

Using (29) in (28), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} + \varepsilon \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

$$(30)$$

Finally, from (29) and (30), the proof of the second case of this lemma is finished.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Lemma 8.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $\omega = 0$ , satisfy

(i)<sub>1</sub> 
$$|\lambda| ||v||^2 \le |\lambda| [||u||_2^2 + ||\theta||^2] + C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
  
(i)<sub>2</sub>  $|\lambda| [||u||_2^2 + ||\theta||^2] \le |\lambda| ||v||^2 + C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$  (31)

or

(ii) 
$$|\lambda| ||u||_2^2 \le C|\lambda| ||A^{1-\sigma}\theta||^2 + C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 1 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
 (32)

(iii) 
$$||A^{\sigma-1}v||^2 \le C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
 (33)

(iv) 
$$\|v\|_{1}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}$$
 for  $\sigma = 1$ , (34)

(v) 
$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}v\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$
 for  $0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}$ , (35)

(vi) 
$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma+3}{4}}u\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 1 < \sigma \le \frac{7}{6},$$
 (36)

(vii) 
$$\|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad \frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2},$$
 (37)

(viii) 
$$\|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{11}{8},$$
 (38)

(ix) 
$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$
 for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , (39)

(x) 
$$\|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad for \quad 1 < \sigma \le \frac{7}{6}.$$
 (40)

**Proof.** Items (i)<sub>1</sub> and (i)<sub>2</sub>: Taking the duality product between Equation (12) and  $\lambda u$  and using the Equations (11) and (13), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} = \lambda \|v\|^{2} + \langle iA^{2}u - iA^{\sigma}\theta - ig, f \rangle + \langle A^{\sigma}\theta, -iv - if \rangle + \langle g, -if - iv \rangle$$
$$= \lambda \|v\|^{2} + i\langle Au, Af \rangle - i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}f \rangle - i\langle g, f \rangle + i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle$$
$$+ i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}f \rangle + i\langle g, f \rangle + i\langle g, v \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}.$$
(41)

On the other hand, taking the duality product between Equation (13) and  $A^{-1}(\lambda\theta)$  and using the Equation (12), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\lambda \|\theta\|^2 = -i\lambda^2 \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 - \langle A^{\sigma-1}v, \lambda\theta \rangle + \langle h, A^{-1}(\lambda\theta) \rangle, \qquad (42)$$

from:

$$-\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, \lambda\theta \rangle = i\langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle + i\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^2 - i\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle,$$
(43)

$$\langle h, A^{-1}(\lambda\theta) \rangle = -i\langle h, \theta \rangle - i\langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle + i \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|^2.$$
(44)

Adding (41) with (42) and in the result using the identities (43) and (44), we get

$$\lambda[\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2}] = \lambda\|v\|^{2} + i\langle Au, Af \rangle + i\langle g, v \rangle + i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle$$
$$- i\lambda^{2}\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} + i\langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle + i\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2} - i\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle$$
$$- i\langle h, \theta \rangle - i\langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle + i\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|^{2}.$$
(45)

From the identities  $-i[\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle] = -i2 \operatorname{Re} \langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle$  and  $i[\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle + \langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle] = i2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle$ , taking real part of (45), we have  $\lambda \|v\|^2 = \lambda [\|u\|_2^2 + \|\theta\|^2] + \operatorname{Im} \{\langle Au, Af \rangle + \langle g, v \rangle - \langle h, \theta \rangle\}$  for  $0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}$ . (46)

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and norms  $||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$  and  $||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ , we finish proving of item (i)<sub>1</sub> and (i)<sub>2</sub> of this lemma.

**Proof.** Item (ii): Consider  $\sigma \ge 1$ . Taking the duality product between Equation (11) and  $A^2u$ , using the Equation (13) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} i\lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} &= \langle A^{\sigma}v, \ A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \ Af \rangle \\ &= \langle -i\lambda\theta - A\theta + h, \ A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \ Af \rangle \\ &= -i\langle \sqrt{|\lambda|}\theta, \ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}} \ A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle - \langle A^{\sigma}\theta, \ A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle + \langle h, \ A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \ Af \rangle. \end{split}$$

$$(47)$$

On the other hand, taking the duality product between Equation (12) and  $A^{3-2\sigma}u$ , using the Equation (11) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\langle A^{\sigma}\theta, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle = \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 - \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}(i\lambda u) \rangle - \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle$$

$$= \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 - \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^2 + \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}f \rangle - \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle.$$

$$(48)$$

Using (48) in (47) for  $1 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}$ , we get

$$i\lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} = -i\langle \sqrt{|\lambda|}\theta, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}} A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle h, A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, Af \rangle$$
$$- \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^{2} - \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}f \rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle.$$
(49)

Taking imaginary part in (49), we get

$$\lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} = \operatorname{Im}\{-i\langle\sqrt{|\lambda|}A^{1-\sigma}\theta, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}}Au\rangle + \langle h, A^{2-\sigma}u\rangle + \langle Au, Af\rangle - \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}f\rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u\rangle\}.$$
(50)

Considering that  $1 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2} \le 2 - \sigma \le 1$  and  $0 \le 3 - 2\sigma \le 1$ . The continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  which does not depend on  $\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C_{\varepsilon} |\lambda| \|A^{1-\sigma} \theta\|^{2} + \epsilon |\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|h\| \|u\|_{2} \\ &+ \|u\|_{2} \|f\|_{2} + \|v\| \|f\|_{2} + \|g\| \|u\|_{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(51)

Of the estimates (23), norm  $||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$  and  $||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ , we finish proving of item (ii) of this lemma.

**Proof.** Item (iii): Taking the duality product between Equation (13) and  $A^{\sigma-2}v$ , using the Equation (12), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\|A^{\sigma-1}v\|^{2} = \langle A^{\sigma-2}\theta, i\lambda v \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-2}v \rangle$$
$$= - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}u \rangle + \|A^{\sigma-1}\theta\|^{2} + \langle A^{\sigma-2}\theta, g \rangle$$
$$- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-2}v \rangle.$$
(52)

As  $\sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$ ,  $\sigma - 2 \leq \sigma - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sigma - \frac{3}{2} \leq 0$ , therefore using the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$ , in (52), we finish the proof of item (iii) of this lemma.

**Proof.** Item (iv): For  $\sigma = 1$ , adding the Equations (12) and (13) and in the sequence we carry out the duality product by v and then by  $\theta$ , we get

$$i\lambda \|v\|^2 + i\lambda \langle \theta, v \rangle + \langle A^2 u, v \rangle + \|v\|_1^2 = \langle g, v \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle,$$
(53)

$$i\lambda \|\theta\|^2 + i\lambda \langle v, \theta \rangle + \langle A^2 u, \theta \rangle + \langle Av, \theta \rangle = \langle g, \theta \rangle + \langle h, \theta \rangle, \tag{54}$$

of identity  $i\lambda[\langle \theta, v \rangle + \langle v, \theta \rangle = i\lambda 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle \theta, v \rangle$  and taking the real part to the sum of the identities (53) and (54), we have

$$\|v\|_{1}^{2} = \operatorname{Re}\{-\langle A^{2}u, v\rangle - \langle A^{2}u, \theta\rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\rangle + \langle g, v\rangle + \langle h, v\rangle + \langle g, \theta\rangle + \langle h, \theta\rangle\}.$$
(55)

From Equations (11) and (12), we have

$$\langle A^{2}u, v \rangle = \langle A^{2}u, i\lambda u - f \rangle = -i\lambda ||Au||^{2} - \langle Au, Af \rangle$$
$$\langle A^{2}u, \theta \rangle = \langle -i\lambda u + A\theta + g, \theta \rangle = \langle -v - f, \theta \rangle + ||A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta||^{2} + \langle g, \theta \rangle.$$
(56)

Using (56) in (55), we arrived

$$\|v\|_{1}^{2} = \operatorname{Re}\{\langle Au, Af \rangle + \langle v, \theta \rangle + \langle f, \theta \rangle - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \rangle + \langle g, v \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle + \langle h, \theta \rangle\}.$$
(57)

Using estimates (14) and (23), norms  $||F||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ ,  $||U||_{\mathcal{H}_0}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|v\|_{1}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|v\|_{1}^{2} + C_{\varepsilon} \|\theta\|_{1}^{2}.$$
(58)

Therefore, the proof of the item (iv) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (v): Taking the duality product between (13) by  $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v$  and using (11), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}v\|^2 &= \langle A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta, i\lambda v \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle \\ &= \langle A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta, -A^2u + A^{\sigma}\theta + g \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle \\ &= - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, Au \rangle + \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}\theta\|^2 + \langle A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta, g \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as for  $\sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}$ , we have  $\frac{2\sigma - 1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ , such that

$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|v\|^{2} + \|u\|_{2}^{2}] + C_{\varepsilon}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2}$$
  
for  $0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}$ . (59)

Therefore from estimates (14) and (23), the proof of the item (v) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (vi): Taking the duality product between (12) and  $A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u$  and using (11), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+3}{4}}u\|^2 &= \langle v, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}(i\lambda u) \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{2\sigma-1}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u \rangle \\ &= \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}v\|^2 + \langle v, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{2\sigma-1}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as for  $1 < \sigma \leq \frac{7}{6}$ , we have  $2\sigma - 1 \leq \frac{2\sigma + 3}{4}$  and  $\frac{2\sigma - 1}{2} \leq 1$ , using estimates (14), (35), for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma+3}{4}}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+3}{4}}u\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1,$$
(60)

or  $1 < \sigma \le \frac{7}{6}$ . The proof of the item (vi) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (vii): Taking the duality product between (12) and  $A^{3-2\sigma}u$  and using (11), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 &= \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}(i\lambda u) \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle \\ &= \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^2 + \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle \end{split}$$

then, as for  $\frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$  we have  $\frac{3-2\sigma}{2} \le \frac{2\sigma-1}{4}$ , the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{4}}v\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2},$$
(61)

using estimates (14), (35), the proof of the item (vii) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (viii): Taking the duality product between (13) and  $A^{-\frac{3}{8}}v$  and using (12), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v\|^2 &= \langle A^{-\frac{3}{8}}\theta, i\lambda v \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{8}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{3}{8}}v \rangle \\ &= -\langle A\theta, A^{\frac{5}{8}}u \rangle + \|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{16}}\theta\|^2 + \langle A^{-\frac{3}{8}}\theta, g \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{8}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{3}{8}}v \rangle \\ &= \langle \theta, A^{\frac{5}{8}}f \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v, Au \rangle + \langle h, A^{\frac{5}{8}}u \rangle + \|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{16}}\theta\|^2 \\ &+ \langle A^{-\frac{3}{8}}\theta, g \rangle + \langle h, A^{-\frac{3}{8}}v \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{11}{8}$ , we have  $\frac{8\sigma - 3}{16} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

36

$$\|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{2}^{2} + C\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2}$$
  
for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{11}{8}$ , (62)

using estimates (14) and (23), the proof of the item (viii) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (ix): Taking the duality product between (12) and  $A^{2\sigma-1}u$  and using (11), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u\|^2 &= \langle v, A^{2\sigma-1}(i\lambda u) \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{3\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{2\sigma-1}u \rangle \\ &= \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^2 + \langle v, A^{2\sigma-1}f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{3\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{2\sigma-1}u \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , we have  $3\sigma - \frac{3}{2} \le 1 \le \frac{2\sigma + 1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2\sigma - 1}{2} \le \frac{8\sigma - 3}{8}$ and  $2\sigma - 1 \le 1$ , using estimates (14), (37), for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$
(63)

The proof of this lemma's item (ix) is finished.

**Proof.** Item (x): Taking the duality product between (12) and  $A^{3-2\sigma}u$  and using (11), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^2 &= \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}(i\lambda u) \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle \\ &= \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^2 + \langle v, A^{3-2\sigma}f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u \rangle + \langle g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as for  $1 < \sigma \leq \frac{7}{6}$  we have  $\frac{3-2\sigma}{2} \leq \frac{8\sigma-3}{8}$ , the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|A^{\frac{8\sigma-3}{8}}v\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2}$$
  
for  $1 < \sigma \leq \frac{7}{6}$ , (64)

using estimates (14) and (38), the proof of the item (x) of this lemma is finished.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Lemma 9.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $\omega = 0$ , satisfy

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad for \quad \frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}.$$
(65)

**Proof.** Taking the duality product between (13) and  $A^{1-\sigma}v$  and using (12), we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} &= \langle A^{1-\sigma}\theta, \, i\lambda v \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \, A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, \, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle \\ &= - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \, A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u \rangle + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} + \langle A^{1-\sigma}\theta, \, g \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \, A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, \, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle, \end{split}$$

then, as  $\frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have:  $1 - \sigma \le 0$ ,  $\frac{3 - 2\sigma}{2} \le \frac{1}{2}$ , the continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2})$ ,  $r_1 > r_2$  and using the inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ , such that

using estimates (14), (23) and (37), the proof of this lemma is finished.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $\omega > 0$ , satisfy

(i) 
$$|\lambda| [\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 + \omega\|\theta\|^2] \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
 (67)

(ii) 
$$|\lambda| ||u||_2^2 \leq C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } \frac{5}{4} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2},$$
 (68)

(iii) 
$$|\lambda| \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^1}^2 \le |\lambda| [\|u\|_2^2 + \|\theta\|^2] + C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
 (69)

(iv) 
$$||A^{\sigma-1}v||^2 + \omega ||A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v||^2 \le C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2},$$
 (70)

(v) 
$$||A^{\sigma}u||^2 \le C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}.$$
 (71)

**Proof.** Item (i): Performing the product of duality between the Equation (17) and  $\lambda A^{-2}(I + \omega A)\theta$  and using the Equation (16), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda \big[ \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 + \omega \|\theta\|^2 \big] &= -i\lambda^2 \big[ \|A^{-1}\theta\|^2 + \omega \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 \big] \\ &- \langle (I + \omega A)\lambda v, \ A^{\sigma-2}\theta \rangle + \langle A^{-2}(I + \omega A)h, \ \lambda \theta \rangle \\ &= -i\lambda^2 \big[ \|A^{-1}\theta\|^2 + \omega \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 \big] - i\langle A^2 u, \ A^{\sigma-2}\theta \rangle \\ &+ i\langle A^{\sigma}\theta, \ A^{\sigma-2}\theta \rangle + i\langle (I + \omega A)g, \ A^{\sigma-2}\theta \rangle \\ &+ \langle A^{-2}(I + \omega A)h, \ iA\theta + iA^{\sigma}v - ih \rangle, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} \lambda \big[ \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|^2 + \omega \|\theta\|^2 \big] &= -i\lambda^2 \big[ \|A^{-1} \theta\|^2 + \omega \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|^2 \big] - i\langle A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} u, A^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \rangle \\ &+ i \|A^{\sigma-1} \theta\|^2 + i\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}} g, A^{\sigma-\frac{5}{2}} \theta \rangle + i\omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}} g, A^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}} \theta \rangle \\ &- i\langle h, A^{-1} \theta \rangle - i\omega \langle h, \theta \rangle - i\langle h, A^{\sigma-2} v \rangle - i\langle h, A^{\sigma-1} v \rangle \\ &+ i [\|A^{-1} h\|^2 + \omega \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} h\|^2 \big]. \end{split}$$

Taking real part and considering  $\sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}$ , and applying inequalities Cauchy-Schwartz and Young, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$|\lambda| \left[ \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 + \omega \|\theta\|^2 \right] \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

$$(72)$$

Therefore, the proof of item (i) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (ii): Performing the product of duality between the Equation (15) and  $A^2u$ , using (17) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} &i\lambda \|u\|_2^2 = \langle A^{\sigma}v, \, A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \, Af \rangle = \langle -i\lambda\theta - A\theta + h, \, A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \, Af \rangle \\ &= \langle \theta, \, A^{2-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle \theta, \, A^{2-\sigma}f \rangle - \langle A^{\sigma}\theta, \, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle + \langle h, \, A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, \, Af \rangle. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, performing the product of duality between the Equation (16) and  $A^{3-2\sigma}u$ , using (15) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\langle A^{\sigma}\theta, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle = \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} - \langle (I + \omega A)v, A^{3-2\sigma}(i\lambda u) \rangle - \langle (I + \omega A)g, A^{3-2\sigma}u \rangle$$

$$= \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} - \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^{2} - \omega\|A^{2-\sigma}v\|^{2} - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}f \rangle$$

$$- \omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}f \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}u \rangle - \omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}u \rangle.$$

$$(74)$$

40

Using (74) in (73), we get

$$i\lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} = \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle \theta, A^{2-\sigma}f \rangle + \langle h, A^{2-\sigma}u \rangle + \langle Au, Af \rangle$$
$$- \|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|^{2} + \|A^{\frac{3-2\sigma}{2}}v\|^{2} + \omega\|A^{2-\sigma}v\|^{2} + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}f \rangle$$
$$+ \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}f \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}f \rangle + \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}u \rangle.$$
(75)

Taking imaginary part in (75), we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} &= \operatorname{Im}\{\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v\rangle + \langle \theta, A^{2-\sigma}f\rangle + \langle h, A^{2-\sigma}u\rangle + \langle Au, Af\rangle \\ &+ \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}f\rangle + \omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}f\rangle \\ &+ \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{5}{2}-2\sigma}u\rangle + \omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{7}{2}-2\sigma}u\rangle\}. \end{split}$$
(76)

Considering that  $\frac{5}{4} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}\,,$  we have

$$0 \le \frac{3}{2} - \sigma \le \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2} \le 2 - \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \le \frac{3 - 2\sigma}{2} \le \frac{1}{4},$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{5}{2} - 2\sigma \le 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \frac{7}{2} - 2\sigma \le 1.$$

The continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{r_1}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{r_2}), r_1 > r_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C\{\|\theta\|_{1}^{2} + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} + \|\theta\| \|Af\| + \|h\| \|Au\| + \|Au\| \|Af\| \\ &+ \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\| \|Af\| + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}g\| \|Au\|\}. \end{aligned}$$
(77)

Of the estimates (27), (18) and norm  $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\varpi}}$  and  $\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\varpi}},$  we have

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{5}{4} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

$$(78)$$

Therefore, the proof of item (ii) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (iii): Performing the product of duality between the Equation (16) and  $\lambda u$  and using the Equation (15), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda \|u\|_{2}^{2} &= \lambda \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + \langle iA^{2}u - iA^{\sigma}\theta - i(I + \omega A)g, f \rangle \\ &+ \langle A^{\sigma}\theta, -iv - if \rangle + \langle (I + \omega A)g, -if - iv \rangle \\ &= \lambda \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + i\langle Au, Af \rangle + i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle + i\langle g, v \rangle + i\omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle. \end{split}$$
(79)

On the other hand, taking the duality product between Equation (17) and  $A^{-1}(\lambda\theta)$  and using the Equation (16), taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we obtain

$$\lambda \|\theta\|^{2} = -i\lambda^{2} \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} + i\langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle + i\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2} - i\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle$$
$$-i\langle h, \theta \rangle - i\langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle + i\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|^{2}.$$
(80)

Adding (79) with (80), we get

42

$$\lambda[\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2}] = \lambda\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + i\langle Au, Af \rangle + i\langle g, v \rangle + i\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle$$
$$+ i\omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle - i\lambda^{2}\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} + i\langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle + i\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2}$$
$$- i2\operatorname{Re}\langle A^{\sigma-1}v, h \rangle - i\langle h, \theta \rangle + i\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|^{2}.$$
(81)

Of identity  $i[\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle + \langle A^{\sigma}v, \theta \rangle] = i2 \operatorname{Re}\langle \theta, A^{\sigma}v \rangle$ , taking real part of (81), we have

$$\lambda[\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2}] = \lambda\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + i\langle Au, Af \rangle + i\langle g, v \rangle + i\omega\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle - i\langle h, \theta \rangle.$$
(82)

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and norms  $||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}$  and  $||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}$ , the proof of item (iii) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (iv): Applying the product duality of (17) by  $A^{\sigma-2}(I + \omega A)v$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\sigma-1}v\|^{2} + \omega \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2} \\ &= \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{5}{2}}[-A^{2}u + A^{\sigma}\theta + (I + \omega A)g] \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}v \rangle - \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-2}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle \\ &= - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u \rangle + \|A^{\sigma-1}\theta\|^{2} + \omega \langle \theta, A^{\sigma-1}g \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{2\sigma-3}{2}}v \rangle - \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma-2}v \rangle \\ &+ \omega \langle h, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle + \langle \theta, g \rangle. \end{split}$$

Considering  $1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$ , we have  $\sigma - 2 < \sigma - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , using (27) and (18) and applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities, led  $\delta > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^2 \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } 1 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}.$$
(83)

Therefore, the proof of item (iv) of this lemma is finished.

**Proof.** Item (v): Applying the product duality of (16) by  $A^{2\sigma-2}u$  and using (11), we have

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\sigma}u\|^{2} &= \langle A^{2\sigma-2}v, (I+\omega A)(i\lambda u) \rangle + \langle A^{2\sigma-2}\theta, A^{\sigma}u \rangle + \langle A^{2\sigma-2}g, (I+\omega A)u \rangle \\ &= \|A^{\sigma-1}v\|^{2} + \omega \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2} + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{4\sigma-5}{2}}f \rangle + \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{4\sigma-3}{2}}f \rangle \\ &+ \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{6\sigma-5}{2}}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{4\sigma-5}{2}}u \rangle + \omega \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}g, A^{\frac{4\sigma-3}{2}}u \rangle. \end{split}$$

Considering  $1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$ , we have  $2\sigma - 2 < \frac{2\sigma - 1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{4\sigma - 5}{2} < \frac{4\sigma - 3}{2} \leq 1$ and  $\frac{4\sigma - 5}{2} \leq \sigma$ , using (18) and (27), applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities, led  $\delta > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\sigma}u\|^{2} \leq w \|A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}v\|^{2} + C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}.$$
(84)

Therefore, using estimative (70) the proof of item (v) of this lemma is finished.

**Lemma 11.** The  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $S(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ , satisfy

$$i\mathbb{R} = \{i\lambda/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \rho(\mathbb{A}_{\omega}) \text{ for } \omega \ge 0.$$
 (85)

**Proof.** The test for both cases  $\omega = 0$  and  $\omega > 0$ , are standards. For the case  $\omega = 0$  consult [4], item (i) of Lemma 4.3, or [1].

For the case  $\omega > 0$  consult [21], Theorem 2.4.

# 3.1.1. Analyticity of $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ for $\omega \geq 0$

In this subsection, it will be shown that the semigroup  $S_0(t)$  for  $\omega = 0$  is analytic when the parameter  $\sigma = 1$  and we also show that  $S_{\omega}(t)$  for  $\omega > 0$  is analytic for  $\sigma \in \left[\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ .

**Theorem 12.** The semigroup  $S_0(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_0}$  is analytic for  $\sigma = 1$ .

**Proof.** A proof of this theorem will be using the Theorem 5, therefore we must verify the conditions (19) and (21).

The verification of the condition (19) was justified in the Lemma 11, next we will verify the condition (21) for  $S_0(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_0}$ .

Taking  $\sigma = 1$  and the duality product between Equation (13) and  $\theta$ 

$$i\lambda \|\theta\|^2 = -\|\theta\|_1^2 - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \rangle + \langle h, \theta \rangle,$$

taking real part and using Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and item (ii) of Lemma 8 and estimative (14), we have

$$|\lambda| \|\theta\|^2 \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma = 1.$$
(86)

On the other hand, from item (ii) of Lemma 8, we have

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C|\lambda| \|\theta\|^{2} + C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma = 1.$$
(87)

Using estimative (86) in (87), we have

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_2^2 \le C_\delta \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma = 1.$$
(88)

Finally, using (86) and (88) in estimative (31) (item (i) of Lemma (8)), we get

$$|\lambda| \|v\|^2 \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma = 1.$$
(89)

Therefore of the estimates (86), (88) and (89), we finish the proof of this theorem.

**Theorem 13.** The semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  for  $\omega > 0$  is analytic for  $\sigma \in \left[\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ .

**Proof.** Now, proof of this theorem will be using the Theorem 5, therefore we must verify the conditions (19) and (21).

The verification of the condition (19) was justified in the Lemma 11, next we will verify the condition (21) for  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ , where  $\omega > 0$ , i.e.,

$$|\lambda| [\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2}] \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{5}{4} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}.$$
(90)

Adding the inequalities of the items (i) and (ii) of the Lemma 10, we obtain

$$|\lambda| [ ||u||_{2}^{2} + ||\theta||^{2} ] \le C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{5}{4} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}.$$
(91)

Finally, using estimativel (91) in (69) (Item (iii) of Lemma 10), we conclude the proof this theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

# 3.1.2. Lack of analyticity of $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ for $\omega \geq 0$

The study of the lack of analyticity of  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$ , will be carried out in two stages, the first for  $\omega = 0$  and the second  $\omega > 0$ .

Stage 1:  $\omega = 0$ . Since the operator linear A is strictly positive, selfadjoint and it has compact resolvent, its spectrum is constituted by positive eigenvalues  $(\eta_n)$  such that  $\eta_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote with  $e_n$  an unitary  $\mathfrak{D}(A^0)$ -norm eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue  $\eta_n$ , that is,

$$Ae_n = \eta_n e_n, \quad \|e_n\| = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(92)$$

**Theorem 14.** The semigroup  $S_0(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_0}$  is not analytic for  $\sigma \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \frac{3}{2}].$ 

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 5 to show this result. Consider the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator A as in (98). Let  $F_n = (0, -\frac{e_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ . The solution  $U_n = (u_n, v_n, \theta_n)$  of the system  $(i\lambda_n I - \Lambda_0)U_n = F_n$  satisfies  $v_n = i\lambda_n u_n$  and the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_n^2 u_n &- A^2 u_n + A^{\sigma} \theta_n = \frac{e_n}{2}, \\ i \lambda_n \theta_n &+ A \theta_n + i \lambda_n A^{\sigma} u_n = \frac{e_n}{2}. \end{split}$$

46

Let us see whether this system admits solutions of the form

$$u_n = \mu_n e_n, \quad \theta_n = \nu_n e_n,$$

for some complex numbers  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$ . Then, the numbers  $\mu_n$ ,  $\nu_n$  should satisfy the algebraic system

$$\{\lambda_n^2 - \eta_n^2\}\mu_n + \eta_n^{\sigma}\nu_n = \frac{1}{2},$$
(93)

$$i\lambda_n\eta_n^{\sigma}\mu_n + (i\lambda_n + \eta_n)\nu_n = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(94)

At this point, we introduce the numbers

$$\lambda_n^2 \coloneqq \eta_n^2.$$

Thus, if we introduce the notation  $x_n \approx y_n$  meaning that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|x_n|}{|y_n|}$  is a positive real number, we have that

$$|\lambda_n| \approx |\eta_n|.$$

And  $\nu_n = \frac{1}{2\eta_n^{\sigma}}$ . From (99)-(100), we find

$$|\mu_n| = \left| -\frac{i}{2} \left[ \lambda_n^{-(1+\sigma)} + \lambda_n^{-2\sigma} \right] - \frac{\lambda_n^{-2\sigma}}{2} \right| \approx \begin{cases} (i) & |\lambda_n|^{-2\sigma} & \text{for } \sigma \le 1\\ (ii) & |\lambda_n|^{-(1+\sigma)} & \text{for } \sigma \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(95)

Therefore, from (95), the solution  $U_n$  of the system  $(i\lambda_n-\mathbb{A}_0)U_n=F_n$  for  $K_0>0,$  satisfy

$$\|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \geq K_0 \|v_n\| = K_0 |\lambda_n| \, \|\mu_n\| \, \|e_n\| = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{i}) & |\lambda_n|^{1-2\sigma} & \text{for } \sigma \leq 1, \\ (\mathbf{i}) & |\lambda_n|^{-\sigma} & \text{for } \sigma \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(96)

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n| \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &\geq K_0 \begin{cases} (\mathrm{i}) & |\lambda_n|^{2-2\sigma} & \text{for } \sigma \leq 1, \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & |\lambda_n|^{1-\sigma} & \text{for } \sigma \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(97)

Therefore for (i) of (97)  $\|\lambda_n\| \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \to \infty$  for  $0 \le \sigma < 1$  and for (ii) of (97)  $\|\lambda_n\| \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \to \infty$  for  $\frac{3}{2} \ge \sigma > 1$  approaches infinity as  $|\lambda_n| \to \infty$ .

Therefore the (20) condition fails. Consequently for  $\sigma \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \frac{3}{2}]$ , the semigroup  $S_0(t)$  is not analytic. This completes the proof of this theorem.

**Remark.** We can observe from (i) of (96), that when  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  to semigroup  $S_0(t)$  is not exponential.

Stage 2:  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{0}$ . Now, since the operator linear A is strictly positive, self-adjoint and it has compact resolvent, its spectrum is constituted by positive eigenvalues  $(\eta_n)$  such that  $\eta_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote with  $e_n$  an unitary  $\mathbf{H}^1$ -norm eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue  $\eta_n$ , that is,

$$Ae_n = \eta_n e_n, \ \|e_n\|_{\mathbf{H}^1} = 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (98)

**Theorem 15.** The semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  for  $\omega > 0$  is not analytic for  $\sigma \in [0, \frac{5}{4}]$ .

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 5 to show this result. Consider the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator A as in (98). Let  $F_n = (0, -e_n, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ . The solution  $U_n = (u_n, v_n, \theta_n)$  of the system  $(i\lambda_n I - A_{\omega})U_n = F_n$  satisfies  $v_n = i\lambda_n u_n$  and the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_n^2 (I + \omega A) u_n &- A^2 u_n + A^{\sigma} \theta_n = (I + \omega A) e_n, \\ & i \lambda_n \theta_n + A \theta_n + i \lambda_n A^{\sigma} u_n = 0. \end{split}$$

48

Let us see whether this system admits solutions of the form

$$u_n = \mu_n e_n, \quad \theta_n = \nu_n e_n,$$

for some complex numbers  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$ . Then, the numbers  $\mu_n$ ,  $\nu_n$  should satisfy the algebraic system

$$\{\lambda_n^2(1+\omega\eta_n)-\eta_n^2\}\mu_n+\eta_n^{\sigma}\nu_n=(1+\omega\eta_n),\tag{99}$$

$$i\lambda_n\eta_n^{\sigma}\mu_n + (i\lambda_n + \eta_n)\nu_n = 0.$$
(100)

At this point, we introduce the numbers

$$\lambda_n^2 \coloneqq \frac{\eta_n^2}{1 + \omega \eta_n}.$$

Thus, if we introduce the notation  $x_n \approx y_n$  meaning that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|x_n|}{|y_n|}$  is a positive real number, we have that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \approx |\eta_n|.$$

From (99)-(100), we find

$$\left|\mu_n\right| = \left|-\frac{1+\omega\eta_n}{\eta_n^{2\sigma}} + i\frac{\omega\eta_n^2}{\lambda_n\eta_n^{2\sigma}}\right| \approx \left|\eta_n\right|^{\max\{1-2\sigma, \frac{3}{2}-2\sigma\}} \approx \left|\lambda_n\right|^{3-4\sigma}.$$

Therefore, the solution  $U_n$  of the system  $(i\lambda_n-\mathbb{A}_{\omega})U_n=F_n$  for  $K_{\omega}>0, \mbox{ satisfy}$ 

$$\|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \ge K_{\omega} \|v_n\|_{\mathbf{H}^1} = K_{\omega} |\lambda_n| \|\mu_n\| \|e_n\|_{\mathbf{H}^1} = K_{\omega} |\lambda_n|^{4-4\sigma}.$$
 (101)

Then

$$|\lambda_n|||U_n||_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \ge K_{\omega}|\lambda|^{5-4\sigma}.$$

Therefore  $\|\lambda_n\| \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \to \infty$  for  $\sigma < \frac{5}{4}$  approaches infinity as  $|\lambda_n| \to \infty$ . Therefore the (20) condition fails. Consequently for  $\sigma < \frac{5}{4}$  to semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t)$  is not analytic. This completes the proof of this theorem.

**Remark.** We can observe from (101), that when  $4 - 4\sigma > 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma < 1$  to semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t)$  is not exponential.

# 3.2. Sharp Gevrey-class, for $\omega \ge 0$

In this section, we discuss the Gevrey class of the semigroup  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}}$ , in two cases: In the first case we determine the Gevrey class of  $S_0(t)$  and in the second we determine the Gevrey class of  $S_{\omega}(t)$  both determined Gevrey classes are Sharp.

Before exposing our results, it is useful to recall the next definition and result presented in [7] (adapted from [22], Theorem 4, p. 153).

**Definition 16.** Let  $t_0 \ge 0$  be a real number. A strongly continuous semigroup S(t), defined on a Banach space  $\mathbb{H}$ , is of Gevrey class s > 1for  $t > t_0$ , if S(t) is infinitely differentiable for  $t > t_0$ , and for every compact set  $K \subset (t_0, \infty)$  and each  $\mu > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\mu, K) > 0$  such that

$$\|S^{(n)}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \le C\mu^n (n!)^s, \text{ for all } t \in K, \ n = 0, 1, 2....$$
(102)

In this paper, we will use the following standard results to identify analytic or Gevrey class semigroups, based on the estimation for the resolvent of the generator of the semigroup.

**Theorem 17** ([22]). Let S(t) be a strongly continuous and bounded semigroup on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that the infinitesimal generator  $\mathbb{B}$  of the semigroup S(t) satisfies the following estimate, for some  $0 < \phi < 1$ .

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \sup |\lambda|^{\phi} \| (i\lambda I - \mathbb{B})^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty.$$
(103)

Then S(t) is of Gevrey class s for t > 0, for every  $s > \frac{1}{\phi}$ .

**Remark 18.** Note that showing the condition (103) is enough to show that: Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$ , satisfy the inequality

$$|\lambda|^{\phi} \frac{\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}}{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}} \le C_{\delta} \Leftrightarrow |\lambda|^{\phi} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}^{2} \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \text{ for } \omega \ge 0.$$
(104)

**Lemma 19.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $\omega = 0$ , satisfy

$$\begin{split} \text{(i)} & \|\lambda\| \|A^{\sigma}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \ \ for \ \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1. \\ \text{(ii)} & \|\lambda\| \|A^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2\sigma-1}}\theta\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \ \ for \ \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1. \\ \text{(iii)} & \|\lambda\| \|A^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}\theta\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \ \ for \ 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}. \\ \text{(iv)} & \|\lambda\| \|A^{\frac{2\sigma^{2}-5\sigma+5}{2}}u\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \ \ for \ 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}. \end{split}$$

**Proof.** (i) Using (11) in (13), we get

$$i\lambda A^{\sigma}u - A^{\sigma}f + i\lambda\theta + A\theta = h.$$
(105)

Performing the product of duality between the Equation (105) and  $A^{\sigma}u$ , using (11) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} i\lambda \|A^{\sigma}u\|^{2} &= \langle A^{\sigma}f, A^{\sigma}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}(i\lambda u) \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma}u \rangle \\ &= \langle A^{\sigma}f, A^{\sigma}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle + \langle \theta, A^{\sigma}f \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u \rangle + \langle h, A^{\sigma}u \rangle, \end{split}$$

as  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  and  $\sigma - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{8\sigma - 3}{8}$ , using items (viii) and (ix) of Lemma 8 and (14), applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_1}), \tau_2 > \tau_1$ , finish to proof this item.

(ii) Performing the product of duality between the Equation (13) and  $\frac{2(\sigma-1)}{2\sigma-1}\theta$ , using (11) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$i\lambda \|A^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2\sigma-1}}\theta\|^2 = -\|A^{\frac{4\sigma-3}{2(2\sigma-1)}}\theta\|^2 - \langle A^{\frac{4\sigma^2-3}{2(2\sigma-1)}}v, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\rangle + \langle h, A^{\frac{2(\sigma-1)}{2\sigma-1}}\theta\rangle.$$

(106)

As for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , we have  $\frac{4\sigma^2 - 3}{2(2\sigma - 1)} \leq \frac{8\sigma - 3}{8}$  and  $\frac{2(\sigma - 1)}{2\sigma - 1} < 0$ , taking imaginary part, applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities, continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_1})$ ,  $\tau_2 > \tau_1$  and item (viii) Lemma 8 and estimative (14), finish to proof this item.

(iii) Now, performing the product of duality between the Equation (13) and  $A^{1-\sigma}\theta$ , using (11) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$i\lambda \|A^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}\theta\|^2 = -\|A^{\frac{2-\sigma}{2}}\theta\|^2 - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}v, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \rangle + \langle h, A^{1-\sigma}\theta \rangle.$$
(107)

As  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{8\sigma - 3}{8} \Leftrightarrow \sigma \geq \frac{7}{8}$ , using continuous immersions and item (viii) of Lemma 8, we have

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{7}{8} \le \sigma \le \frac{11}{8}.$$
 (108)

On the other hand, performing the product of duality between the Equation (13) and  $A^{1-\sigma}v$ , using (12) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} &= \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}i\lambda v \rangle - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle \\ &= - \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma}u \rangle + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^{2} + \langle A^{1-\sigma}\theta, g \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v \rangle + \langle h, A^{1-\sigma}v \rangle. \end{split}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and using estimates (14), item (vii) of Lemma 8, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} + \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}v\|^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2},$$
(109)

then, as for  $\frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$  we have  $\frac{3}{2} - \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ , using continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_1}), \tau_2 > \tau_1$  in (109), we arrive

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{2} \le C_{\delta}\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{7}{6} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2},$$
(110)

54

Taking real part in (107) and applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and using estimates (14), (108) and (110), we finished the test of this item.

(iv) Now, performing the product of duality between the Equation (105) and  $A^{2\sigma^2-6\sigma+5}u$ , using (11) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} i\lambda \|A^{\frac{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 5}{2}}u\|^2 &= \langle Af, \ A^{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 4}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \ A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{9}{2}}i\lambda u \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \ A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{11}{2}}u \rangle + \langle h, \ A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + 5}u \rangle, \end{split}$$
(111)

using Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities in (111), we get

$$\begin{split} |\lambda| \|A^{\frac{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 5}{2}} u\|^2 &\leq C\{\|Af\| \|A^{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 4}u\| + \|h\| \|A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + 5}u\| \\ &+ \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\|^2 + \|A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{11}{2}}u\|^2\} + |\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{9}{2}}v\rangle| \\ &+ |\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{9}{2}}f\rangle|. \end{split}$$

As, for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have:  $2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + 5 \le 2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 4 \le 1$ ,  $2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{11}{2} \le \frac{5-2\sigma}{2}$  and  $2\sigma^2 - 6\sigma + \frac{9}{2} \le \frac{1}{2} < 1$ . Using now Young inequality, continuous immersions and estimates: (108), (110), items (vii) and (x) of Lemma 8, finish proof this item.

**Lemma 20.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that the solutions of the system (3) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $\omega > 0$ , satisfy

$$|\lambda| \|A^{\frac{4\sigma-1}{4}}u\|^2 \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$$

**Proof.** Using (15) in (17), we get

$$i\lambda A^{\sigma}u - A^{\sigma}f + i\lambda\theta + A\theta = h.$$
(112)

Performing the product of duality between the Equation (112) and  $A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u$ , using (15) and taking advantage of the self-adjointness of the powers of the operator A, we get

$$\begin{split} i\lambda \|A^{\frac{4\sigma-1}{4}}u\|^2 &= \langle Af, A^{2\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-1}(i\lambda u) \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma}u \rangle + \langle h, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u \rangle \\ &= \langle Af, A^{2\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}u \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-1}v \rangle + \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma-1}f \rangle \\ &- \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, A^{\sigma}u \rangle + \langle h, A^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{2}}u \rangle, \end{split}$$

as for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$ , we have: and  $2\sigma - \frac{3}{2} < 1$ ,  $\sigma - 1 < 1$  and  $\frac{2\sigma - 1}{2} < 1$ , using items (iv) and (v) of Lemma 10 and estimative (18), applying Cauchy-Schwartz and Young inequalities and continuous embedding  $\mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A^{\tau_1}), \tau_2 > \tau_1$ , finish to proof this lemma.

Our main result in this subsection is as follows:

**Theorem 21.** Let  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  strongly continuous-semigroups of contractions on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ , the semigroups  $S_{\omega}(t)$  are of Grevrey class  $s_{\omega}$  for every:

$$\begin{cases} (i) \quad s_{01} > \frac{1}{\phi_{01}} = \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} \quad for \quad \omega = 0 \quad and \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \\ (ii) \quad s_{02} > \frac{1}{\phi_{02}} = \sigma \quad for \quad \omega = 0 \quad and \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \\ (iii) \quad s_{\omega} > \frac{1}{\phi_{\omega}} = \frac{1}{4(\sigma - 1)} \quad for \quad \omega > 0 \quad and \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}. \end{cases}$$

If the estimates to follow are verified

$$\begin{cases} (i) \ for \ \omega = 0, \ \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \ \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} |\lambda|^{2\sigma - 1} \| (i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0)} < \infty, \\ (ii) \ for \ \omega = 0, \ 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \ \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \| (i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0)} < \infty, \\ (iii) \ for \ \omega > 0, \ 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}, \ \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} |\lambda|^{4(\sigma - 1)} \| (i\lambda I - \mathbb{A}_{\omega})^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega})} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

(113)

**Proof.** From (104) (Remark 18). To show the estimates of (113), it suffices to show are equivalent to, let  $\delta > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) \text{ for } \omega = 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \ |\lambda|^{2\sigma-1} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}, \\ (ii) \text{ for } \omega = 0 \text{ and } 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \ |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}, \\ (iii) \text{ for } \omega > 0 \text{ and } 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}, \ |\lambda|^{4(\sigma-1)} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}. \end{cases}$$

$$(114)$$

Case (i):  $\omega = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ .

We are going to initially prove that for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , it is verified:

$$|\lambda|^{2\sigma-1} ||u||_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \text{ and } |\lambda|^{2\sigma-1} ||\theta||^{2} \leq C_{\delta} ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} ||U||_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}.$$
(115)

As for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , we have  $1 \in [\sigma, \frac{2\sigma + 1}{2}]$ . We are going to use an interpolation inequality. Since

$$1 = \phi \sigma + (1 - \phi) \left( \frac{2\sigma + 1}{2} \right), \text{ for } \phi = 2\sigma - 1,$$

using inequalities of item (ix) Lemma 8 and Lemma 19, we get that

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C \|A^{\sigma}u\|^{2\sigma-1} \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}u\|^{2-2\sigma} \\ &\leq C_{\delta} |\lambda|^{1-2\sigma} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \}^{2\sigma-1} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \}^{2-2\sigma}. \end{split}$$

Where do we conclude the proof of  $(115)_1$ .

As for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , we have  $0 \in [\frac{\sigma - 1}{2\sigma - 1}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We are going to use an

interpolation inequality. Since

$$0 = \phi \left( \frac{\sigma - 1}{2\sigma - 1} \right) + (1 - \phi) \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for } \phi = 2\sigma - 1 \text{ and } 1 - \phi = 2 - 2\sigma,$$

using inequalities of item (ix) Lemma 8 and Lemma 19, we get that

$$\begin{split} \|\theta\|^2 &\leq C \|A^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2\sigma-1}}\theta\|^{2\sigma-1} \|A^{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2}}\theta\|^{2-2\sigma} \\ &\leq C_{\delta}|\lambda|^{1-2\sigma} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\}^{2\sigma-1} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\}^{2-2\sigma}. \end{split}$$

Where do we conclude the proof of  $(115)_2$ .

Equivalently,

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq |\lambda|^{2(1-\sigma)} C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \tag{116}$$

and

$$|\lambda| \|\theta\|^2 \le |\lambda|^{2(1-\sigma)} C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$
(117)

Applying (116) and (117) in estimative (31) (item  ${\rm (i)}_1\,$  Lemma 8), we have

$$|\lambda| \|v\|^{2} \leq |\lambda|^{2(1-\sigma)} C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$
(118)

Finally, from estimates (116)-(118), finish to proof this is Case (i).

Case (ii):  $\omega = 0$  and  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ :

**Remark.** Next, for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ , we are going to test the following estimates:

$$|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \text{ and } |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|\theta\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}.$$
(119)

As for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have  $1 \in \left[\frac{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 5}{2}, \frac{5 - 2\sigma}{2}\right]$ . We are going to

use an interpolation inequality. Since

$$1 = \phi \left( \frac{2\sigma^2 - 5\sigma + 5}{2} \right) + (1 - \phi) \left( \frac{5 - 2\sigma}{2} \right), \text{ for } \phi = \frac{1}{\sigma} \text{ and } 1 - \phi = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma},$$

using the items (vii) and (x) of the Lemma 8 and item (iv) of Lemma 19, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C \|A^{\frac{2\sigma^{2}-5\sigma+5}{2}}u\|_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\|A^{\frac{5-2\sigma}{2}}u\|_{\sigma}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \\ &\leq C_{\delta}|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}}\}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Where do we conclude the proof of  $(119)_1$ .

On the other hand, as for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have  $0 \in \left[\frac{1-\sigma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ . We are going to use an interpolation inequality. Since

$$0 \ = \ \phi \bigg( \frac{1-\sigma}{2} \bigg) + \big( 1- \phi \big) \frac{1}{2} \,, \ \ \text{for} \ \ \phi \ = \ \frac{1}{\sigma} \ \ \text{and} \ \ 1-\phi \ = \ \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}$$

using inequalities (14) and item (iii) of Lemma 19, we get that

$$\begin{split} \|\theta\|^{2} &\leq C \|A^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} \theta\|_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{\sigma}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \\ &\leq C_{\delta} |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}. \end{split}$$

Where do we conclude the proof of  $(119)_2$ .

58

Adding the two estimates of (119), we arrive

$$|\lambda| [\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\theta\|^{2}] \leq |\lambda|^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}.$$
(120)

Applying (120) in estimative (31) (item  ${\rm (i)}_1\,$  Lemma 8), we have

$$|\lambda| \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 \le |\lambda|^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}.$$
(121)

Where did we finish to prove this is Case (ii).

Case (iii): 
$$\omega > 0$$
 and  $1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$ :

Next we are going to prove that for  $1<\sigma<\frac{5}{4}$  , it is verified:

$$|\lambda|^{4(\sigma-1)} \|u\|_2^2 \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}.$$
(122)

As for  $1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}$ , we have  $1 \in [\frac{4\sigma - 1}{4}, \sigma]$ . We are going to use an interpolation inequality. Since

$$1 = \phi\left(\frac{4\sigma - 1}{4}\right) + (1 - \phi)\sigma, \text{ for } \phi = 4(\sigma - 1) \text{ and } 1 - \phi = 5 - 4\sigma,$$

using the item (v) of the Lemma 10 and Lemma 20, we get that

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C \|A^{\frac{4\sigma-1}{4}}u\|^{4(\sigma-1)} \|A^{\sigma}u\|^{5-4\sigma} \\ &\leq C_{\delta} |\lambda|^{-[4(\sigma-1)]} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \}^{4(\sigma-1)} \{\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0}} \}^{5-4\sigma}. \end{split}$$

Where do we conclude the proof of (122). Then

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_2^2 \le C_{\delta} |\lambda|^{5-4\sigma} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}.$$

$$(123)$$

From estimative (67), we have

$$|\lambda| \|\theta\|^2 \le C_{\delta} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{2}.$$
(124)

Adding the inequalities (123) and (124), we obtain

$$\left|\lambda\right| \left\| u \|_{2}^{2} + \left\|\theta\right\|^{2} \right| \leq C_{\delta} \left|\lambda\right|^{5-4\sigma} \left\|F\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}} \left\|U\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega}}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{5}{4} < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}.$$
(125)

Finally, using estimative (125) in (69) (item (iii) of Lemma 10), we finish the proof of item (iii) of this theorem.

**Remark 22** (Gevrey Sharp Class). The Gevrey classes determined above are Sharp, by the meaning of Sharp given by the theorem to follow:

**Theorem 23.** The functions  $\phi_{01}(\sigma) = 2\sigma - 1$  for  $\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$ ,  $\phi_{02}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$ for  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  and  $\phi_{\omega}(\sigma) = 4(\sigma - 1)$  for  $\sigma \in (1, 5/4)$  that determine the Gevrey classes of the semigroups  $S_{01}(t)$ ,  $S_{02}(t)$  and  $S_{\omega}(t)$ , respectively are sharp, in the sense: If

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{01} := \phi_{0} + \delta_{0} = 2\sigma - 1 + \delta_{01} \text{ for all } \delta_{01} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ 2\sigma - 1 + \delta_{01} < 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \\ \\ \Phi_{02} := \phi_{0} + \delta_{0} = \frac{1}{\sigma} + \delta_{01} \text{ for all } \delta_{02} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ 2\sigma_{1} + \delta_{02} < 1 \text{ and } 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \\ \\ \Phi_{\omega} := \phi_{\omega} + \delta_{\omega} = 4(\sigma - 1) + \delta_{\omega} \text{ for all } \delta_{\omega} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ 4(\sigma - 1) + \delta_{\omega} < 1 \text{ and } 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$(126)$$

then

$$\begin{cases} s_{01} > \frac{1}{\Phi_{01}} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \\ s_{02} > \frac{1}{\Phi_{02}} & \text{for } 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \\ s_{\omega} > \frac{1}{\Phi_{\omega}} & \text{for } 1 < \sigma < \frac{5}{4}. \end{cases}$$
(127)

They are not Gevrey classes of the semigroups  $S_{01}(t)$ ,  $S_{02}(t)$  or  $S_{\omega}(t)$ , respectively.

**Proof.** We will use the results obtained in the Theorem 21 and the estimates determined in the Equations (96) for  $\omega = 0$  and (101) for  $\omega > 0$ , to prove this theorem, i.e., from the estimates (96) and (101), we have

$$\begin{cases} |\lambda_n|^{\Phi_{01}} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = K_{01} |\lambda_n|^{2\sigma - 1 + \delta_{01}} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \ge K_{01} |\lambda_n|^{\delta_{01}} \to \infty, \\ & \text{when } |\lambda_n| \to \infty, \\ \\ |\lambda_n|^{\Phi_{02}} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = K_{02} |\lambda_n|^{\frac{1}{\sigma} + \delta_{02}} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \ge K_{02} |\lambda_n|^{\delta_{02}} \to \infty, \\ & \text{when } |\lambda_n| \to \infty, \text{ and} \\ \\ |\lambda_n|^{\Phi_\omega} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} = K_\omega |\lambda_n|^{4(2\sigma - 1) + \delta_\omega} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \ge K_\omega |\lambda_n|^{\delta_\omega} \to \infty, \\ & \text{when } |\lambda_n| \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\Phi_{01}$ ,  $\Phi_{02}$  and  $\Phi_{\omega}$  it does not verify the (113) condition for  $\omega \ge 0$  of the Theorem 21 concerning class Gevrey.

Then the Gevrey classe  $s_{01} > \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1}$ ,  $s_{02} > \sigma$  and  $s_{\omega} > \frac{1}{4(\sigma - 1)}$ they semigrupos  $S_0(t)$  and  $S_{\omega}(t)$ , respectively are Sharp.

We emphasize that in the tree since the determined Gevrey class is sharp, we conclude that for this case the semigroups  $S_w(t) = e^{t\mathbf{A}_w}$  is also not analytic.

### F. M. S. SUÁREZ and L. D. B. SOBRADO

**Remark 24** (Asymptotic Behaviour). A semigroup S(t) of class Gevrey has more regular properties than a differentiable semigroup but is less regular than an analytic semigroup. It should be noted that the Gevrey class or the analyticity of the particular model implies three important properties. The first is the property of the smoothing effect on the initial data, that is, no matter how irregular the initial data is, the model solutions are very smooth in positive time. The second property is that systems are exponentially stable. And the third is that the systems associated with the semigroup enjoy the property of linear stability, which means that the type of the semigroup is equal to the spectral limit of its infinitesimal operator. Specifically speaking of this investigation system (3). The associated semigroups  $S_{\omega}(t) = e^{t\mathbb{A}_{\omega}}$  for  $\omega \ge 0$ , are exponentially stable. The proof is a consequence of Lemmas 7 and 11: In the case  $\omega = 0$ ;  $S_0(t)$  is exponentially stable for  $\sigma \in [1/2, 3/2]$  and for the case  $\omega > 0$ ;  $S_w(t)$  is exponentially stable for  $\sigma \in [1, 3/2]$ .

#### References

- [1] M. S. Alves, S. F. Arantes and J. E. M. Rivera, Exponential and polynomial decay for an abstract hyperbolic-parabolic system (preprinted).
- [2] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka, Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system without mechanical dissipation, Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Universita di Trieste 28 (1997), 1-29.
- [3] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka, Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system with free boundary conditions without mechanical dissipation, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 29(1) (1998), 1-28.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036141096300823

[4] F. Dell'Oro, J. E. M. Rivera and V. Pata, Stability properties of an abstract system with applications to linear thermoelastic plates, Journal of Evolution Equations 13(4) (2013), 777-794.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00028-013-0202-6

[5] K. J. Engel and R. Nagel, One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations, Springer, 2000.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/b97696

[6] J. Hao and Z. Liu, Stability of an abstract system of coupled hyperbolic and parabolic equations, Zeitschrift f
ür Angewandte Mathematik und Physik 64(4) (2013), 1145-1159.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-012-0274-0

[7] V. Keyantuo, L. Tebou and M. Warma, A gevrey class semigroup for a thermoelastic plate model with a fractional laplacian: between the Euler-Bernoulli and Kirchhoff models, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical System 40(5) (2020), 2875-2889.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2020152

[8] Z. Kuang, Z. Liu and H. D. F. Sare, Regularity analysis for an abstract thermoelastic system with inertial term, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 27(S24) (2021), 1-29.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/cocv/2020075

[9] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Analyticity and lack thereof, of thermo-elastic semigroups, ESAIM: Proceedings and Surveys 4 (1998), 199-222.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/proc:1998029

- [10] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Analyticity of thermo-elastic semigroups with free boundary conditions, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze, Serie 4 27(3-4) (1998), 457-482.
- [11] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Two direct proofs on the analyticity of the S.C. semigroup arising in abstract thermo-elastic equations, Advances in Differential Equations 3(3) (1998), 387-416.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.57262/ade/1366399847

[12] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Analyticity of thermo-elastic semigroups with coupled hinged/Neumann B.C., Abstract and Applied Analysis 3 (1998); Article ID 428531.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/S1085337598000487

[13] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Structural decomposition of thermo-elastic semigroups with rotational forces, Semigroup Forum 60(1) (2000), 16-66.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s002330010003

[14] K. S. Liu and Z. Y. Liu, Exponential stability and analyticity of abstract linear thermoelastic systems, Zeitschrift f
ür angewandte Mathematik und Physik 48(6) (1997) 885-904.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s000330050071

[15] Z. Liu and M. Renardy, A note on the equations of a thermoelastic plate, Applied Mathematics Letters 8(3) (1995), 1-6.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0893-9659(95)00020-Q

[16] Z. Y. Liu and S. M. Zheng, Exponential stability of the Kirchhoff plate with thermal or viscoelastic damping, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 55(3) (1997), 551-564.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1090/gam/1466148

64

- [17] Z. Liu and S. Zheng, Semigroups Associated with Dissipative Systems, Chapman & Hall CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, Boca Raton, FL, 398 (1999).
- [18] S. Nafiri, Uniform polynomial decay and approximation in control of a family of abstract thermoelastic models, Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems 29(1) (2021), 209-227.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10883-021-09568-9

- [19] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences 44, Springer, 1983.
- [20] J. E. M. Rivera, Energy decay rates in linear thermoelasticity, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 35(1) (1992), 19-30.
- [21] H. D. F. Sare, Z. Liu and R. Racke, Stability of abstract thermoelastic systems with inertial terms, Journal of Differential Equations 267(12) (2019), 7085-7134.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2019.07.015

- [22] S. W. Taylor, Gevrey Regularity of Solutions of Evolution Equations and Boundary Controllability, Thesis (Ph.D.) University of Minnesota, (1989), 182 pp.
- [23] L. Tebon, Stabilization of some coupled hyperbolic/parabolic equations, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B 14(4) (2010), 1601-1620.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2010.14.1601

[24] L. Tebou, Uniform analyticity and exponential decay of the semigroup associated with a thermoelastic plate equation with perturbed boundary conditions, Comptes Rendus Mathematique 351(13-14) (2013), 539-544.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2013.07.014

[25] L. Tebou, Regularity and stability for a plate model involving fractional rotational forces and damping, Zeitschrift f
ür Angewandte Mathematik und Physik 72(4) (2021); Article 158.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-021-01589-5