

## THE $m\Theta$ PROTOCOL $F5$ AND HAMMING $m\Theta$ CODES

**PEMHA BINYAM GABRIEL CEDRIC**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences

Faculty of Sciences

University of Douala

P. O. Box 24157, Douala

Cameroon

e-mail: [gpemha@yahoo.fr](mailto:gpemha@yahoo.fr)

### Abstract

$\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  is the prime modal  $\Theta$ -valent field with  $p^2$  elements as presented by Ayissi Eteme in [5] in order to define on  $\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  a notion of Hamming code which respects its structure of  $m\Theta$  set. We show a relation between  $m\Theta$  protocol  $F5$  and Hamming  $m\Theta$  code. By using this relation, we give a method to construct good  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocols.

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94A60, 03B45.

Keywords and phrases:  $m\Theta$  set, Hamming  $m\Theta$  codes, steganography,  $m\Theta$  protocol  $F5$ .

Received December 28, 2022

© 2023 Scientific Advances Publishers

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 3.0).

[http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/deed.en\\_US](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/deed.en_US)

Open Access



## 1. Introduction

Steganography [4, 6] is the art and science of invisible communications. It is used, sometimes together with cryptography to protect information from unwanted third parties. The design of a steganographic system has two facets: firstly, the choice of accurate covers and the search for strategies to modify them in an imperceptible way; secondly, the design of efficient algorithms for embedding and extracting the information. Recall that error-correcting codes are commonly used for detecting and correcting errors in data transmission. It was first suggested by Crandall [9] and later implicitly used by Westfeld in the design of *F5* [10].

An  $m\Theta$  approach of the notion of code [11] has allowed to bring out the new classes of codes:  $m\Theta$  codes. The  $m\Theta$  codes [2, 12, 13] present an enrichment from the logical view-point compared with the classical codes. Indeed, with the  $m\Theta$  codes, we can mathematically express that an information is lightly, partially or greatly damaged.

Let  $E$  be a finite  $m\Theta$  set, then a non-empty subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $E$  is called an  $m\Theta$  code. Often  $E$  is the  $m\Theta$  set of  $n$ -tuples from a finite alphabet  $A$  with  $p^2$  elements. The elements of  $E$  are called  $m\Theta$  words and the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  are called  $m\Theta$  codewords. When  $A$  is a  $m\Theta$  field,  $E$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $A$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a linear  $m\Theta$  code if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linear subspace of  $E$ . When  $A = \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ , the finite  $m\Theta$  field of  $p^2$  elements and  $E$  will be denoted  $V(n, p\mathbb{Z})$ .

Section 2 recalls firstly the essential notions of  $m\Theta$  set, secondly the linear  $m\Theta$  codes and lastly the Hamming  $m\Theta$ -distance of  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|\mathcal{C}}^n)$ . Section 3 presents the Hamming codes on  $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$ . Section 4 is devoted to the  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocol *F5* and Hamming  $m\Theta$  codes.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. The modal $\Theta$ -valent set structure and the algebra of $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha)$

$m\Theta$  sets are considered to be non-classical sets which are compatible with a non-classical logic called the chrysippian  $m\Theta$  logic.

**Definition 1** ([14]). Let  $E$  be a non-empty set,  $I$  be a chain whose first and last elements are 0 and 1, respectively,  $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I_*}$ , where  $I_* = I \setminus \{0\}$  be a family of applications from  $E$  to  $E$ .

A  $m\Theta$  set is the pair  $(E, (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I_*})$  simply denoted by  $(E, F_\alpha)$  satisfying the following four axioms:

- $\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha(E) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I_*} \{F_\alpha(x) : x \in E\} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- $\forall \alpha, \beta \in I_*$ , if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$ ;
- $\forall \alpha, \beta \in I_*$ ,  $F_\alpha \circ F_\beta = F_\beta$ ;
- $\forall x, y \in E$ , if  $\forall \alpha \in I_*$ ,  $F_\alpha(x) = F_\alpha(y)$ , then  $x = y$ .

**Theorem 1** ([7]) (The theorem of  $m\Theta$  determination). *Let  $(E, F_\alpha)$  be a  $m\Theta$  set.*

$$\forall x, y \in E, x =_\Theta y \text{ if and only if } \forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha(x) = F_\alpha(y).$$

**Proof.** [7].

**Definition 2** ([11]). Let  $C(E, F_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_\alpha(E)$ . We call  $C(E, F_\alpha)$

the set of  $m\Theta$  invariant elements of the  $m\Theta$  set  $(E, F_\alpha)$ .

**Proposition 1** ([7]). *Let  $(E, F_\alpha)$  be an  $m\Theta$  set. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_\alpha(E)$ ;
- (2)  $\forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha(x) = x$ ;
- (3)  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in I_*, F_\alpha(x) = F_\beta(x)$ ;
- (4)  $\exists \mu \in I_*, x = F_\mu(x)$ .

**Proof.** [7].

**Definition 3** ([1]). Let  $(E, F_\alpha)$  and  $(E', F'_\alpha)$  be two  $m\Theta$  sets. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. We shall call

(1)  $(E', F'_\alpha)$  is a modal  $\Theta$ -valent subset of  $(E, F_\alpha)$  if the structure of  $m\Theta$  set  $(E', F'_\alpha)$  is the restriction to  $E'$  of the structure of the  $m\Theta$  set  $(E, F_\alpha)$ , this means:

- $E' \subseteq E$ ;
- $\forall \alpha : \alpha \in I_*, F'_\alpha = F_{\alpha|_{E'}}$ .

(2)  $X$  is a modal  $\Theta$ -valent subset of  $(E, F_\alpha)$  if:

- $X \subseteq E$ ;
- $(X, F_{\alpha|_X})$  is an  $m\Theta$ s which is a modal  $\Theta$ -valent subset of  $(E, F_\alpha)$ .

In all what follows we shall write  $F_\alpha x$  for  $F_\alpha(x)$ ,  $F_\alpha E$  for  $F_\alpha(E)$ , etc.

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , a prime number. Let us recall that if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ .

$$\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{F}_p \cup \{x_{p\mathbb{Z}} : \neg(x \equiv 0 \pmod{p})\}; \quad \mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}.$$

We define the  $m\Theta$  support of  $a$  denoted  $s(a)$  as follows:

$$s(a) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{F}_p; \\ x & \text{if } a = x_{p\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with } \exists (x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}). \end{cases}$$

Thus  $s(a) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Definition 4** ([14]). Let  $\perp$  be a binary operation on  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . So,  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p, a \perp b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ . We define a binary operation  $\perp^*$  on  $\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  as follows:

$$x \perp^* y = \begin{cases} s(x) \perp s(y) & \text{if } \begin{cases} x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ ((s(x) \perp s(y)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}) \end{cases} \\ (s(x) \perp s(y))_{p\mathbb{Z}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\perp^*$  as defined above on  $\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  will be called an  $m\Theta$  law on  $\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Thus we can define  $x + y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $x \times y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $+$  and  $\times$  are  $m\Theta$  addition and  $m\Theta$  multiplication, respectively.

**Theorem 2** ([1]).  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha, +, \times)$  is an  $m\Theta$  ring of unity 1 and of  $m\Theta$  unity  $\frac{1}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Proof.** [1].

**Remark 1.** Since  $p$  is prime,  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha)$  is an  $m\Theta$  field.

**Definition 5** ([5]).  $x$  is a divisor of zero in  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha)$  if it exists  $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $x \times y = 0$ .

**Example 1** ([5]).  $p = 2$ , we have  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}} = \{0, 1, 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}, 3_{2\mathbb{Z}}\}$ .

The table of  $m\Theta$  determination and tables laws of  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ :

$\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	0	1	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
$F_1$	0	1	1	0
$F_2$	0	1	0	1

$+^\Theta$	0	1	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
0	0	1	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
1	1	0	0	0
$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	0	0	0
$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	0	0	0

$\times^\Theta$	0	1	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	0	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$
$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	0	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$3_{2\mathbb{Z}}$	$1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$

**Observation:**

$\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  has no divisor of zero, is a  $m\Theta$  ring from four elements, that's a  $m\Theta$  field of four elements.

## 2.2. Linear $m\Theta$ codes

Let  $(A, F_\alpha)$  be a finite  $m\Theta$  set. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we shall denote in what follows the  $m\Theta$  set product of  $(A, F_\alpha)$  by  $(A^n, F_\alpha^n)$ , where  $F_\alpha^n$  is the product on  $A^n$  of  $F_\alpha$ . By definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha^n : A^n &\longrightarrow A^n; (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto F_\alpha^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= (F_\alpha(a_1), \dots, F_\alpha(a_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k$  and  $n$  be two natural integers such that  $k \neq 0$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , and  $k \leq n$ .

**Definition 6** ([13]). Let us set  $\mathcal{C} = f(E)$  the image of  $f$ . As  $f$  is injective,  $f$  is an  $m\Theta$  bijection from  $E$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$  is considered as the  $m\Theta$  set of all possible  $m\Theta$  messages.

(1) An  $m\Theta$  code of length  $n$  and of alphabet  $(A, F_{\alpha})$ , the  $m\Theta$  set  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$ .

(2) Elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $m\Theta$  messages or  $m\Theta$  words of the  $m\Theta$  code  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$ .

(3) Elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n) = \cap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n(\mathcal{C})$ , messages or words of the  $m\Theta$  code  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$ .

**Proposition 2** ([11]).  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$  is an  $m\Theta$  part of  $(A^n, F_{\alpha}^n)$ .

**Proof.** [11].

**Proposition 3** ([11]). Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n)$  be a  $m\Theta$  code of length  $n$  on  $(A, F_{\alpha})$ . The set  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n) = \cap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_{\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}}}^n(\mathcal{C})$  is a classical code of length  $n$  on  $\cap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_{\alpha}(A) = \mathcal{C}(A, F_{\alpha})$ .

**Proof.** [11].

**Definition 7** ([12]). Let  $(\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}, F_{\alpha})$  be the  $m\Theta$  field with four elements  $\forall \alpha \in I_*$ , we call:

(1) Hamming  $\alpha$ -weight of an element  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $(V(n, 2\mathbb{Z}), F_{\alpha}^n)$  the number of non zero coordinates of  $F_{\alpha}^n(x)$ . We denote it by  $\omega_{H_{\alpha}}(x) = \omega(F_{\alpha}^n(x))$ .

$$\omega_{H_{\alpha}}(x) = \omega(F_{\alpha}^n(x)) = \text{Card}\{i | F_{\alpha}(x_i) \neq 0; i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

(2) Hamming  $m\Theta$ -weight of an element  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $(V(n, 2\mathbb{Z}), F_\alpha^n)$  the number denoted  $\omega_{H_\Theta}(x)$  and defined as follows:

$$\omega_{H_\Theta}(x) = \begin{cases} \omega(x) & x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; \\ \sum_{\alpha \in I_*} \omega_{H_\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_*} \omega(F_\alpha^n(x)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The alphabet used is the  $m\Theta$  field  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}} = (\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{p\mathbb{Z}}}{p\mathbb{Z}_{p\mathbb{Z}}}, F_\alpha))$ .

**Proposition 4** ([5]). *We set  $E = V(k, p\mathbb{Z})$  and  $C = f(E)$ . Let  $(E, F_\alpha^k)$  be the  $m\Theta$  set of  $m\Theta$  message and  $f$  a  $m\Theta$  linear encoder of  $(E, F_\alpha^k)$  in  $(V(n, p\mathbb{Z}), F_\alpha^n)$ . Then, the  $m\Theta$  code  $(C, F_\alpha^n|_C)$  is an  $m\Theta$  vector subspace of  $(V(n, p\mathbb{Z}), F_\alpha^n)$  over  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha)$ .*

**Proof.** [5].

**Definition 8** ([13]). An  $m\Theta$  linear code of  $m\Theta$  dimension  $k$  and of length  $n$  on  $(\mathbb{F}_{p\mathbb{Z}}, F_\alpha)$  is an  $m\Theta$  vector subspace of  $m\Theta$  dimension  $k$  of  $(V(n, p\mathbb{Z}), F_\alpha^n)$ .

**Proposition 5** ([5]). *Let  $(C, F_\alpha^n|_C)$  be a linear  $m\Theta$  code of  $m\Theta$  dimension  $k$  and of length  $n$ .*

*Then  $C(C, F_\alpha^n|_C) = \cap_{\alpha \in I_*} F_\alpha^n(C)$  is a linear code of dimension  $k$  and of length  $n$ .*

**Proof** ([5]). As  $C(V(k, p\mathbb{Z}), F_\alpha^k)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space of dimension  $k$ , then  $C(C, F_\alpha^n|_C)$  is a linear code of dimension  $k$  and of length  $n$ .



### 2.3. The Hamming $m\Theta$ -distance of $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|C}^n)$

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|C}^n)$  be an  $m\Theta$  or a pseudo  $m\Theta$  code of length  $n$  on  $(A, F_\alpha)$ .

Our purpose is to define for  $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\alpha|C}^n)$  a notion of distance which is compatible with its structure of  $m\Theta$  code.

$\forall \alpha \in I_*$ , we define  $d_{H_\alpha}$  on  $A^n \times A^n$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H_\alpha}(x, y) &= d_H(F_\alpha^n x, F_\alpha^n y) \\ &= \text{card}\{i : F_\alpha x_i \neq F_\alpha y_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ;  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $d_H$  is the Hamming distance on  $(\mathcal{C}(A, F_\alpha))^n$ .

**Proposition 6.** If  $(A, F_\alpha)$  is an  $m\Theta$  set and  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$  is an  $m\Theta$  code on  $(A, F_\alpha)$ , then  $\forall x, y \in A^n$ , we define  $d_{H_\Theta}$  on  $A^n \times A^n$  as follows:

$$d_{H_\Theta}(x, y) = \begin{cases} d_H(x, y), & \text{if } x \text{ and } y \in (\mathcal{C}(A, F_\alpha))^n; \\ \sum_{\alpha \in I_*} d_{H_\alpha}(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_*} d_H(F_\alpha x, F_\alpha y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$F_\alpha^n x = (F_\alpha x_1, \dots, F_\alpha x_n)$ ;  $F_\alpha^n y = (F_\alpha y_1, \dots, F_\alpha y_n)$ . Then  $d_{H_\Theta}$  is an  $m\Theta$  distance on  $(A^n, F_\alpha^n)$ .

**Proof.** [11].

**Definition 9.**  $d_{H_\Theta}$  will be called the Hamming  $m\Theta$  distance on  $(A^n, F_\alpha^n)$ .

**Remark 2.**  $d_{H_\Theta|C(A^n, F_\alpha^n)}$  is the Hamming distance on  $(\mathcal{C}(A, F_\alpha))^n$ .

**Definition 10.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$  be an  $m\Theta$  code;  $d_{H_\Theta}$  is the  $m\Theta$  Hamming distance. We define  $\delta^\Theta$  as follows:

$$\delta^\Theta = \min\{d_{H_\Theta}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{C}; x \neq y\}.$$

We shall call  $\delta^\Theta$  the minimal  $m\Theta$  distance of the  $m\Theta$  code  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$ .

### 3. The Hamming $m\Theta$ Codes

#### 3.1. Generating and parity check matrices

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$  denote a linear  $m\Theta$  code in  $V(n, p\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $G$  be a matrix whose rows generate  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$ . The matrix  $G$  is called a generating matrix of  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$ . The dual  $m\Theta$  code of  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$ , denoted  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ , is defined to be the set

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{x \in V(n, p\mathbb{Z}); \forall \alpha \in I_*, \langle F_\alpha x, F_\alpha c \rangle = 0, \forall c \in (\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)\},$$

where  $\langle u, v \rangle := u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \dots + u_nv_n$ . Note that  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is clearly also a linear  $m\Theta$  code, and thus has a generating matrix  $H$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ , it can be seen that

$$\mathcal{C} = \{c \in V(n, p\mathbb{Z}) / \forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha(c)H^t = 0\}.$$

The matrix  $H$  is called a parity check matrix for  $(\mathcal{C}, F_\alpha)$ . If an  $m\Theta$  word  $w$  is received, then it can be verified that  $w$  is an  $m\Theta$  codeword simply by checking that  $wH^t = 0$ , i.e.,  $\forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha(w)H^t = 0$ .

#### 3.2. Hamming codes on $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$

In this paragraph, we introduce the Hamming  $m\Theta$  code which is a linear  $m\Theta$  code in  $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$  for some  $n \geq 2$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  denote the  $m\Theta$  field of four elements and let  $H$  be the matrix whose columns are all the non-zero  $m\Theta$  vectors of length  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that there will be  $2^k - 1$  of these. We define the Hamming  $m\Theta$  code as follows:

**Definition 11.** Fix  $k \geq 2$  and let  $n = 2^k - 1$ . Let  $H$  denote the  $k \times n$  matrix defined above. The Hamming  $m\Theta$  code  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is the linear  $m\Theta$  subspace of  $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$  consisting of the set of all  $\alpha$ -vectors,  $\alpha \in I_*$ , orthogonal to all the rows of  $H$ . That is,

$$Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n) = \{v \in V(n, 2\mathbb{Z}) / \forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha(v) \times H^t = 0\}.$$

**Proposition 7.** *The Hamming  $m\Theta$  code  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  with  $k \times (2^k - 1)$  parity check matrix is a  $(2^k - 1, 2^k - k - 1, 3)$ -code.*

**Proof 9.** That the length of the  $m\Theta$  vectors in  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is  $2^k - 1$  is clear. The  $m\Theta$  code  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is defined to be the  $m\Theta$  subspace of  $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$  orthogonal to the rowspace of  $H$ , which has dimension  $k$ , and so the dimension of  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  will be  $2^k - k - 1$  by the rank-nullity theorem. By definition, no two columns of  $H$  are dependent, there exist three columns in  $H$  which are linearly dependent. This implies that the  $m\Theta$  code generated will have minimum  $m\Theta$  distance 3. To see this, recall that for a linear  $m\Theta$  code, the minimum  $m\Theta$  distance is equivalent to the minimum weight of an  $m\Theta$  codeword. Suppose columns  $i, j$ , and  $k$  of  $H$  are linearly dependent. Then some linear combination of those three columns with non-zero coefficients will equal zero, and since the vectors are taken over  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ , the coefficients must be 1. So the  $\alpha$ -vector with 1's in the  $i, j$ , and  $k$  position is in  $Ham_{2\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ , and so the minimum  $m\Theta$  weight of the code is at most 3. It cannot be less than 3, or else some linear combination of two columns of  $H$  would be zero, which we have ruled out. Thus  $H$  will be the parity check matrix for a  $(2^k - 1, 2^k - k - 1, 3)$ -code.

#### 4. The $m\Theta$ Steganographic Protocol $F5$ and Hamming $m\Theta$ Codes

##### 4.1. The $m\Theta$ protocol $F5$

$F5$  is a steganographic system developed by Westfeld in 2001 [10]. The  $m\Theta$  protocol  $F5$  over the  $m\Theta$  field  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  permits to hide  $m\Theta$  messages of length  $k$  (secret  $m\Theta$  words) in cover  $m\Theta$  words of length  $n = 2^k - 1$  by partially or totally changing more than one of them ( $m\Theta$  protocol of type  $(2^k - 1, k, 1)$ ). Let  $\langle F_\alpha^k m \rangle_2$  be the binary expression of  $m$  with  $k$  bits (so can consider that  $\langle m \rangle_2$  is in  $V(k, 2\mathbb{N})$ ).

Conversely, for  $z \in V(k, 2\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in I_*$ , let  $\langle F_\alpha^k z \rangle_{10}$  be the integer which has  $F_\alpha^k z$  as binary expression, then  $1 \leq \langle F_\alpha^k(z) \rangle_{10} \leq 2^k - 1$ . Finally, let  $e_i$  be the  $i$ -th vector of the canonical basis of  $V(2^k - 1, 2)$ ;  $e_0 = 0_{V(2^k-1, 2)}$ .

**Proposition 8.** *The  $m\Theta$  maps  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $e_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ , and  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  as follows define:*

$$(i) \quad \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}} : V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_{2\mathbb{Z}}, F'_\alpha)$$

$$(x, m) \mapsto (\langle F_\alpha^k(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(x_i) \langle i \rangle_2 \rangle_{10})_{\alpha \in I_*},$$

$$(ii) \quad e_{2\mathbb{Z}} : V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z})$$

$$(x, m) \mapsto (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}(u) + e_{F'_\alpha(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))})_{\alpha \in I_*},$$

$$(iii) \quad r_{2\mathbb{Z}} : V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow V(k, 2\mathbb{Z})$$

$$x \mapsto (\sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(x_i) \langle i \rangle_2)_{\alpha \in I_*}$$

are well defined and  $m\Theta$ .

**Proof.** (i) • Let  $(x, m), (x', m') \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z})$  let us suppose that  $(x, m) = (x', m')$  ( $x = x'$  and  $m = m'$ ) and let us show that  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', m')$ .

$$(x, m) = (x', m') \Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_* \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1} x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1} x' \\ F_\alpha^k m = F_\alpha^k m' \end{cases}$$

$$\forall \alpha \in I_*;$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 &= F_\alpha^k t + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 \\ \Rightarrow < F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 >_{10} &= < F_\alpha^k m' + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 >_{10} \\ \Rightarrow (< F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 >_{10})_{\alpha \in I_*} & \\ = (< F_\alpha^k m' + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 >_{10})_{\alpha \in I_*} & \\ \Rightarrow \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the map  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is well defined.

• Let us verify  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $m\Theta$  map.

Let  $(x, m), (x', m') \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z})$

$$\forall \alpha \in I_*,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}, F_\alpha^k)(x, m) &= \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x, F_\alpha^k m) \\
&= (\langle F_\alpha^k(F_\alpha^k m) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha((F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x)_i) \rangle_{i=1}^{2^k-1})_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= (\langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x)_i \rangle_{i=1}^{2^k-1})_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= (\langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i \rangle_{i=1}^{2^k-1})_{\alpha \in I_*}; \\
F'_\alpha \circ \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) &= F'_\alpha(\langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i \rangle_{i=1}^{2^k-1})_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= (\langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i \rangle_{i=1}^{2^k-1})_{\alpha \in I_*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $m\Theta$  map.

(ii) •  $(x, m), (x', m') \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $(x, m) = (x', m')$  ( $x = x'$  and  $m = m'$ ), let's show that  $e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', m')$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(x, m) = (x', m') &\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_*, \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' \\ F_\alpha^k m = F_\alpha^k m' \end{cases} \\
\forall \alpha \in I_*, \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' \\ F_\alpha^k m = F_\alpha^k m' \end{cases} &\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_*, \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' \\ \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', m') \end{cases} \\
&\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_*, \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' \\ F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', m') \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_*, \begin{cases} F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' \\ e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m) = e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x', m') \end{cases} \\
&\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in I_*; F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m) = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x', m') \\
&\Rightarrow (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m) = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x' + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x', m'))_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&\Rightarrow e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x', m').
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $e_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is well defined.

- Let us verify  $e_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $m\Theta$  map.

Let  $(x, m) \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z}) \times V(k, 2\mathbb{Z})$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}, F_\alpha^k)(x, m) &= e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x, F_\alpha^k m) \\
&= (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x) + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x, F_\alpha^k m))_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m))_{\alpha \in I_*} \quad (\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}} \text{ is } m\Theta \text{ map}). \\
F'_\alpha \circ e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) &= F'_\alpha(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m))_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F'_\alpha \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}}(x, m))_{\alpha \in I_*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$e_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}, F_\alpha^k) = F'_\alpha \circ e_{2\mathbb{Z}}.$$

- (iii) • Let us show that  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is well defined.

Let us suppose that  $x = x'$  ( $F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x'$ ) and let us show that

$$r_{2\mathbb{Z}}x = r_{2\mathbb{Z}}x'.$$

Let  $\alpha \in I_*$ ;

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\alpha^{2^k-1}(x) = F_\alpha^{2^k-1}(x') &\Rightarrow F_\alpha x_i = F_\alpha x'_i \\
&\Rightarrow F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 = F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 \\
&\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x'_i < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&\Rightarrow r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x) = r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x').
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $m\Theta$  map.

- Let us show that  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $m\Theta$  map.

Let  $x \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z})$ , let  $\alpha \in I_*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ F_\alpha^{2^k-1}(x) &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha((F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x)_i) < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(F_\alpha x_i) < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*}; \\
F'_\alpha \circ r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) &= F'_\alpha \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*} \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha x_i < i >_2 \right)_{\alpha \in I_*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $m\Theta$  map.



**Proposition 9.**  $(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}, r_{2\mathbb{Z}})$  before define in the proposition 0.8 is an  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocols.

**Proof.** Let's show that  $(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}, r_{2\mathbb{Z}})$  is an  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocol. In other words,  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m)) = m$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}^k$  and for any  $x \in V(2^k - 1, 2\mathbb{Z})$ .

$$\text{So, } \forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha^k(r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))) = F_\alpha^k(m).$$

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha^k(r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))) &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1} \circ e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m)) \text{ (} r_{2\mathbb{Z}} \text{ is } m\Theta \text{ map)} \\ &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}, F_\alpha^k))(x, m) \text{ (} e_{2\mathbb{Z}} \text{ is } m\Theta \text{ map)} \\ &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x, F_\alpha^k m)) \\ &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_{F_\alpha'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))}), \end{aligned}$$

we put

$$\begin{aligned} j = F_\alpha'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m)) &= \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}} \circ (F_\alpha^{2^k-1}, F_\alpha^k)(x, m) \\ &= \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x, F_\alpha^k m) \\ &= \langle F_\alpha^k(F_\alpha^k m) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha((F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x)_i) \rangle_{i >_2 >_{10}} \\ &= \langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(F_\alpha x_i) \rangle_{i >_2 >_{10}} \\ &= \langle F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(x_i) \rangle_{i >_2 >_{10}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } \langle j \rangle_2 = F_\alpha^k m + \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha(x) \langle i \rangle_2 \text{ (*).}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_j) &= r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha x_1, F_\alpha x_2, \dots, F_\alpha x_j + 1, \dots, F_\alpha x_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{2^k-1} \{F_\alpha(F_\alpha x_i) < i >_2 + (F_\alpha x_j + 1) < j >_2\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{2^k-1} \{F_\alpha(x_i) < i >_2 + (F_\alpha x_j + 1) < j >_2\}
\end{aligned}$$

changing  $< j >_2$  by expression given in (\*) we obtain:

$$r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(F_\alpha^{2^k-1}x + e_j) = F_\alpha^k m; \text{ so}$$

$$\forall \alpha \in I_*, F_\alpha^k(r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))) = F_\alpha^k(x, m).$$

Therefore,  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m)) = (x, m)$ . Thus  $m\Theta$  protocol  $F5$  is an  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocol.

**Remark 3.** (1) Insert an  $m\Theta$  message  $s$  by the  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocol  $F5$  in an  $m\Theta$  covering  $u$  consists to change the  $m\Theta$  coordinate number  $\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(u, s)$ .

(2)  $m\Theta$  extraction consists to add all products of each  $\alpha$ -component,  $\forall \alpha \in I_*$ , to the value of the  $F_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  expression of the index. In other words,

$$r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^k-1} F_\alpha u_i < i >_2.$$

**Example 2.** The covering radius of  $[2^k - 1, 2^k - k - 1]_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ . Hamming codes is one for all integers  $k \geq 1$ , which can be used to construct a stego-code and embed  $k$  bits of  $m\Theta$  messages into  $2^k - 1$  pixels by partially or totally changing at most one of them. Taking  $[7, 4]_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  Hamming code as an example. How to embed  $m = 01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  into  $x = 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  by the  $m\Theta$  steganographic protocol  $F5$ .

$$F_1^3 m = 011, F_2^3 m = 000, F_1^7 x = 1100001, F_2^7 x = 0000100.$$

So, how to calculate  $e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}, 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}}, 01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) &= (< F_1^3(01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^7 F_1 x_i < i >_2 >_{10}, < F_2^3(01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^7 F_2 x_i < i >_2 >_{10} >) < F_1^3(01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^7 F_1 x_i < i >_2 >_{10} = < 011 + 1(001) + 1(010) + 1(111) >_{10} \\ &= 7, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} < F_2^3(01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) + \sum_{i=1}^7 F_2 x_i < i >_2 >_{10} = < 000 + 1(101) >_{10} \\ &= 5. \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = (7; 5) = (F_1'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m)); F_2'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))).$$

$$e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) = (F_1^7 x + e_{F_1'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))}; F_2^7 x + e_{F_2'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))}).$$

$$F_1^7 x + e_{F_1'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))} = 1100001 + e_7 = 1100001 + 0000001 = 1100000.$$

$$F_2^7 x + e_{F_2'(\gamma_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m))} = 0000100 + e_5 = 0000100 + 0000100 = 0000000.$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2\mathbb{Z}}(x, m) &= (1100000, 0000000) \\ &= 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}000000 \\ &= v. \end{aligned}$$

How to extract the  $m\Theta$  message hidden  $m$  in the  $m\Theta$  message  $y = 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}00000$ ?

In other words, how to calculate  $r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}00000)$ ? By applying the second point of the previous remark, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(y) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^7 F_1 y_i < i >_2, \sum_{i=1}^7 F_2 y_i < i >_2 \right) \\ r_{2\mathbb{Z}}(y) &= (1(001) + 1(010); 1(000)) \\ &= (011; 000) \\ &= 01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= m. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2. The $F5$ $m\Theta$ algorithm

To increase embedding efficiency, the  $F5$  algorithm introduces for the first time the concept of matrix embedding technique for embedding in the context of using Hamming codes.

More formally, the desired purpose of the matrix  $m\Theta$  embedding technique is to communicate an  $m\Theta$  message  $m \in V(n - k, p\mathbb{Z})$  through the cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x \in V(n, p\mathbb{Z})$ , modifying it as little as possible.

The principle is to change the cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x$  to stego  $m\Theta$  vector  $y$ , such that:

$$H(F_\alpha y)_{\alpha \in I_*} = (F_\alpha m)_{\alpha \in I_*},$$

with  $H \in \mathcal{M}_{n-k, n}$  the parity check matrix of Hamming  $m\Theta$  code. The  $m\Theta$  transformation of the cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x$  into  $y$  is then carried out by seeking the  $m\Theta$  vector of modification  $e \in V(n, p\mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (F_\alpha y)_{\alpha \in I_*} &= (F_\alpha (x + e))_{\alpha \in I_*}; \\ H(F_\alpha (x + e))_{\alpha \in I_*} &= (F_\alpha m)_{\alpha \in I_*} \Leftrightarrow H(F_\alpha e)_{\alpha \in I_*} \\ &= (F_\alpha m)_{\alpha \in I_*} - H(F_\alpha x)_{\alpha \in I_*}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.** Taking [7, 4] Hamming  $m\Theta$  code, we explain how to embed 3  $m\Theta$  bits of  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  into 7 pixels. Let  $m = 01_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  be the  $m\Theta$  message that we want to insert in the cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x = 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ . The parity check matrix is therefore in the following form:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The purpose is to find the  $\alpha$ -vector  $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7)$  such that  $H(x + e) = m$ .

Otherwise,

$$\begin{cases} F_1(m) = 011, & F_2(m) = 000, \\ F_1(x) = 1100001, & F_2(x) = 0000101. \end{cases}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(m) - H \times F_1(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the modification  $\alpha$ -vector is  $F_1(e) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_2(m) - H \times F_2(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $F_2(e) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ .

$$e = (F_1(e), F_2(e)) = (0, 3_{2\mathbb{Z}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}).$$

The cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x$  is then transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
 y = x + e &= 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}} + 03_{2\mathbb{Z}}00001_{2\mathbb{Z}} \\
 &= 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}0003_{2\mathbb{Z}}00.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the cover  $m\Theta$  vector  $x = 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}1_{2\mathbb{Z}}003_{2\mathbb{Z}}01_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  and the stego  $m\Theta$  vector  $y = 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}0003_{2\mathbb{Z}}00$ . When embedding  $m$  into  $x$ , it appears that 2 pixels of  $x$  have been partially damaged, namely the second and the last component of  $x$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{cases} 1_{2\mathbb{Z}} = (F_\alpha 1_{2\mathbb{Z}})_{\alpha \in I_*} = (F_1 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}, F_2 1_{2\mathbb{Z}}) = (1, 0), \\ 0 = (F_\alpha 0)_{\alpha \in I_*} = (F_1 0, F_2 0) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

The passage from  $1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  to 0 shows that the pixels has been partially damaged.

## 5. Conclusion

This note shows that the Hamming  $m\Theta$  code is an  $\mathbb{F}_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ -vector subspace of  $V(n, 2\mathbb{Z})$  of dimension  $n$ . We have seen that there exists a close relation between the  $m\Theta$  protocols  $F5$  and the Hamming  $m\Theta$  code. The embedding of an  $m\Theta$  message of  $k$  bits into the cover  $m\Theta$  vector of  $n$  pixels changes at the level of the  $\alpha$ -modalities because it partially or totally damages at most one pixel of the cover  $m\Theta$  vector.

## References

- [1] F. Ayissi Eteme, *chrm $\Theta$*  Introducing Pure and Applied Mathematics, Lambert Academic Publishing Saarbrücken, Germany, 2015.
- [2] J. A. Tsimi and Rose C. Youdom, The modal  $\Theta$ -valent extensions of BCH codes, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences* 42(8) (2021), 1723-1764.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2021.1914364>
- [3] J. A. Tsimi and Pemha B. G. Cedric, A  $m\Theta$  spectrum of Reed-Muller codes, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* 25(6) (2022), 1791-1807.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2020.1814489>
- [4] F. A. P. Petitcolas, R. J. Anderson and M. G. Kuhn, Information hiding: A survey, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 87(7) (1999), 1062-1078.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/5.771065>
- [5] F. A. Eteme and J. A. Tsimi, A  $m\Theta$  approach of the algebraic theory of linear codes, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* 14(6) (2011), 559-581.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2011.10698356>
- [6] W. Bender, W. Butera, D. Gruhl, R. Hwang, F. J. Paiz and S. Pogreb, Applications for data hiding, *IBM Systems Journal* 39(3-4) (2000), 547-568.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1147/sj.393.0547>
- [7] F. Ayissi Eteme, *Logique et Algèbre de Structure Mathématiques Modales  $\Theta$ -valentes* Chrysippiennes, Edition Hermann, Paris, 2009.
- [8] F. Ayissi Eteme, Complétion chrysippienne d'une algèbre de Lukasiewicz  $\Theta$ -valent, *CRAS Paris*, 299, Série 1(3) (1984), pp. 69-72.

- [9] R. Crandall, Some Notes on Steganography, 1998.
- [10] A. Westfeld, F5-A Steganographic Algorithm: High Capacity Despite Better Steganalysis, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2137, Springer, New York, 2001, pp. 289-302.  
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45496-9\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45496-9_21)
- [11] F. A. Eteme and J. A. Tsimi, A modal  $\Theta$ -valent approach of the notion of code, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography 14(5) (2011), 445-473.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2011.10698348>
- [12] J. A. Tsimi and Pemha B. G. Cedric, On a decoding algorithm of  $m\Theta$  Reed-Muller codes, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 2021.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2021.1920189>
- [13] J. A. Tsimi and Pemha B. G. Cedric, On the generalized modal  $\Theta$ -valent Reed-Muller codes, Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences 42(8) (2021), 1885-1906.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2021.1961977>
- [14] F. Ayissi Eteme, Anneau chrysippien  $\Theta$ -valent, CRAS, Paris 298, Série 1 (1984), pp.1-4.

