

GROUP RINGS OVER FROBENIUS RINGS

SAMIR ASSUENA

Centro Universitário da FEI

Av. Humberto de Alencar Castelo Branco 3972

São Bernardo do Campo-SP

Brazil

e-mail: samir.assuena@fei.edu.br

sassuena@yahoo.com.br

Abstract

In this paper, we consider group rings RG of finite groups over Frobenius rings. We introduce the concept of Jacobson ring for G and give necessary conditions over R to RG be a Frobenius ring.

1. Introduction

A ring R is called *quasi-Frobenius* (QF ring for short) if R is right noetherian and right self-injective. The class of QF rings appeared for first time in the work of Brauer, Nesbitt, Nakayama, and others, in the form of Frobenius algebras. The study of such algebras was motivated by the representation theory of finite groups. Since then, QF rings have been studied and have been also used in coding theory. For instance, Wood proved that *a finite ring R has the extension property for Hamming weight if and only if R is Frobenius* ([5], Theorems 2.2 and 2.3).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16S34; Secondary 16D50, 16L60, 16P10.

Keywords and phrases: finite groups, quasi-Frobenius rings, Frobenius rings.

Received September 15, 2018; Revised October 2, 2018

We say that a QF ring R is a *Frobenius ring* if $\text{soc}({}_R R) \cong_R (R/J(R))$ as R -modules and for QF rings, we have an important and very interesting result, due to Nakayama ([3]), which shows that R is QF if and only if G is finite and RG is QF .

Since group algebras $\mathbb{F}G$ of finite groups G over a field \mathbb{F} which are finite-dimensional are Frobenius rings ([1], Example 16.56 and Theorem 16.21) and if R is a finite Frobenius ring and G is a finite group, then the group ring RG is also Frobenius ([4], Example 4.4 (v)), we are interested in studying the following equivalence: *R is Frobenius if and only if RG is Frobenius.*

In this paper, we shall show that this equivalence holds for a class of rings R .

2. Basic Results

In this section, we shall present basic results which will be used in this paper.

Let R be a ring. In this paper, $J(R)$ will always denote the Jacobson radical of R and $\text{soc}({}_R R)$ and $\text{soc}(R_R)$ the right and left socle of R .

Lemma 2.1. *For any artinian ring R , we have:*

$$\text{soc}({}_R R) = \{r \in R \mid J(R) \cdot r = 0\} \text{ and } \text{soc}(R_R) = \{r \in R \mid r \cdot J(R) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([2], Theorem 2.7.16). *Let R be a semisimple ring. Then, R is artinian and the following conditions hold:*

- (i) R contains no nonzero nilpotent two-sided ideals;
- (ii) R contains no nonzero nilpotent left ideals;
- (iii) $J(R) = (0)$.

Conversely, if R is artinian and any of the above conditions holds, then R is semisimple.

Theorem 2.3 ([1], Theorem 15.1). *Let R be a ring. Then, R is QF if and only if R is (2-sided) artinian and the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\text{ann}_r(\text{ann}_l(A)) = A$ for any right ideal $A \subseteq R$;
- (2) $\text{ann}_l(\text{ann}_r(B)) = B$ for any left ideal $B \subseteq R$.

Proposition 2.4 ([1], Corollary 15.6). *For any QF ring R , we have*

$$\text{ann}_l(J(R)) = \text{soc}(R_R) = \text{soc}({}_R R) = \text{ann}_r(J(R)).$$

Proposition 2.5 ([1], Example 15.6-3). *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$. Then R is QF ring if and only if R_i is QF, for all index i .*

Proposition 2.6 ([3]). *Let R be a ring and G be a finite group. Then R is QF if and only if RG is QF.*

Theorem 2.7 ([1], Theorem 15.27). *For any commutative ring R , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is QF;
- (2) $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_s$, where each R_i is a local artinian ring with simple socle.

Definition 2.8. Let R be a QF ring. We say that R is a Frobenius ring if $\text{soc}({}_R R) \cong_R (R/J(R))$ as R -modules.

Lemma 2.9 ([4], Remark 1.3). *Let R be a commutative artinian ring. Then R is QF if and only if R is Frobenius.*

Proposition 2.10 ([1], Example 16.19-3). *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$. Then R is Frobenius ring if and only if R_i is Frobenius, for all index i .*

It follows from Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.9 that if R is commutative ring, G finite group and if RG is Frobenius, then R is Frobenius. Wood proved the converse of this result for finite Frobenius rings.

Proposition 2.11 ([4], Example 4.4 (v)). *Let R be a finite Frobenius ring and G be a finite group. Then RG is Frobenius.*

Theorem 2.12 (Maschke's Theorem [2], Theorem 3.4.7). *Let G be a group and R be a ring. Then, the group ring RG is semisimple if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) R is a semisimple ring;
- (ii) G is finite;
- (iii) $|G|$ is invertible in R .

3. New Results

Let R be an artinian ring, G be a finite group, and RG be the group ring of G over R . We denote by $J(R)$ the Jacobson radical of R and by $J(RG)$ the Jacobson radical of RG .

Since R is artinian ring, the ideal $J(R)$ is nilpotent ideal and, it is not difficult to see, the set

$$J(R)G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in J(R) \right\}$$

is an ideal of RG and $RG / J(R)G \cong (R/J(R))G$. Since $J(R)$ is nilpotent, the ideal $J(R)G$ is also nilpotent so $J(R)G \subseteq J(RG)$.

Definition 3.1. Given a finite group G , we say that an artinian ring R is a *Jacobson ring for G* if the equality $J(R)G = J(RG)$ holds.

Proposition 3.2. *Given a finite group G , an artinian ring R is a Jacobson ring for G if and only if $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R/J(R))$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R/J(R))$. Since R is artinian, the factor ring $R/J(R)$ is semisimple ring, so $(R/J(R))G$ is also semisimple by hypothesis. Thus, $J((R/J(R))G) = 0$. Consequently, $J(RG/J(R)G) = 0$ and the equality $J(R)G = J(RG)$ holds.

Now, suppose that the equality $J(R)G = J(RG)$ holds. Since $J((R/J(R))G) = J(RG/J(R)G) = 0$ and $(R/J(R))G$ is artinian, the group ring $(R/J(R))G$ is semisimple, then, by Maschke's theorem, $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R/J(R))$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be an artinian local ring and G be a finite group such that $m = \text{char}(R)$ does not divide $|G|^k$, where k denotes the nilpotency index of $J(R)$. Then R is a Jacobson ring for G .*

Proof. Let R be an artinian local ring. Then, $R/J(R)$ is a division ring. We shall show that $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R/J(R))$. If $|G| \notin \mathcal{U}(R/J(R))$, then $|G| \in J(R)$. Since k denotes the nilpotency index of $J(R)$, we have that $|G|^k = 0$. This implies $m \mid |G|^k$. \square

Notice that if m is prime number, we can re-write Corollary 3.3 as follows:

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be an artinian local ring and G be a finite group such that $m = \text{char}(R)$ prime does not divide $|G|$. Then R is a Jacobson ring for G .*

The next result shows that we can give a precise description of the socle of RG if R is a Jacobson ring for G .

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a finite group and R be a Jacobson ring for G . Then, the following equality holds:*

$$\text{soc}(RG) = \text{soc}(R)G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in \text{soc}(R) \right\}.$$

Proof. Since, by hypothesis, R is a Jacobson ring for G , we have $J(RG) = J(R)G$. Let x be an element of $\text{soc}(RG)$, so by Lemma 2.1, $\alpha \cdot x = 0$ for all $\alpha \in J(RG) = J(R)G$. Write $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g$, then $r \cdot x = \sum_{g \in G} (r \cdot x_g)g = 0$ for all $r \in J(R)$ and for all $g \in G$. So $r \cdot x_g = 0$ and then $x_g \in \text{soc}(R)$. This implies that $\text{soc}(RG) \subseteq \text{soc}(R)G$.

On the other hand, if $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g$ with $x_g \in \text{soc}(R)G$ and if $y = \sum_{g \in G} y_g g$ with $y_g \in J(R)G$, then $y \cdot x = \sum_{g, h \in G} (y_g \cdot x_h)gh = 0$ so $x \in \text{soc}(RG)$ by Lemma 2.1.

Consequently, we have $\text{soc}(RG) = \text{soc}(R)G$. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a finite group and R be an artinian ring. If R is Frobenius and a Jacobson ring for G , then RG is Frobenius.*

Proof. First of all, it is not difficult to see that the set $(R/J(R))G$ is a RG -module with the following multiplication $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \cdot \sum_{g \in G} \overline{b_g} g := \sum_{g, h \in G} \overline{a_g b_h} gh$.

Claim 1. $\text{soc}({}_R R)G \cong (R/J(R))G$ as RG -modules.

Proof. Since R is Frobenius, there exists an isomorphism of R -modules

$$\varphi : \text{soc}({}_R R) \rightarrow R/J(R),$$

and its linear extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{soc}({}_R R)G \rightarrow (R/J(R))G$ is an isomorphism of RG -modules and the proof of Claim 1 is completed.

Claim 2. $(R/J(R))G \cong RG/J(R)G$ as RG -modules.

Proof. The following mapping $\phi : RG/J(R)G \rightarrow (R/J(R))G$ given

by $\phi\left(\sum_{g \in G} \overline{a_g g}\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{a_g} g$ is the desired isomorphism.

Finally, since R is Frobenius, we have the following isomorphism of RG -modules: $\text{soc}({}_{RG}RG) = \text{soc}(R)G \cong (R/J(R))G \cong RG/J(R)G = RG/J(RG)$.

□

Corollary 3.7. *Let R be a commutative artinian ring with $\text{char}(R) = 0$ and let G be a finite group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is Frobenius;
- (ii) RG is Frobenius.

Proof. Let R be an artinian ring with $\text{char}(R) = 0$. By Corollary 3.3, R is a Jacobson ring for G so, if R is Frobenius, by Theorem 3.6, RG is Frobenius.

On the other hand, if RG is Frobenius, then RG is QF so R is also QF and, by Lemma 2.9, R is Frobenius.

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a commutative artinian ring with $\text{char}(R) = 0$ and let G be a finite group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) RG is QF ;
- (ii) RG is Frobenius.

Proof. Suppose that RG is Frobenius. Then, by definition, RG is QF . Now, if RG is QF , then R is QF and, again by Lemma 2.9, R is Frobenius. Thus, by Theorem 3.6, RG is Frobenius. □

References

- [1] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [2] C. Polcino Milies and S. Sehgal, An Introduction to Group Rings, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2001.
- [3] T. Nakayama, On Frobeniusean algebras I, *Annals of Mathematics* 40(3) (1939), 611-633.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968946>

- [4] J. A. Wood, Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory, *American Journal of Mathematics* 121(3) (1999), 555-575.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.1999.0024>

- [5] J. A. Wood, Code equivalence characterizes finite Frobenius rings, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 136(2) (2008), 699-706.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-07-09164-2>

