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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to prove the existence and the multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of a nonlinear variational inequality of Kirchhoff type. Under more general superlinear assumptions on the nonlinear term, we prove the existence of multiple positive solutions via non-smooth critical point theory for Szulkin-type functional.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Consider the following variational inequality of Kirchhoff type:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u \geq f(x, u), & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N=1,2$, or 3$), a, b>0$ are constants and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuos and satisfies:
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right) f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exist $c>0$ and $p \in\left(1,2^{*}\right)\left(2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}\right.$ if $N \geq 3,2^{*}=+\infty$ if $N<3$, is the Sobolev critical exponent) such that

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq c\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^{4}} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$ and there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
F(x, u) \geq 0, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},|u| \geq r_{0}
$$

where $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, s) d s$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ there exists a constant $\beta<a \lambda_{1}$ such that

$$
4 F(x, u) \leq f(x, u) u+\beta|u|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}>0$ is the first eigenvalue of $\left(-\Delta, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right) \widetilde{F}(x, u) \geq 0$ for all $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\widetilde{F}(x, s) \leq \widetilde{F}(x, t)$ whenever $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $s \leq t$, where $\widetilde{F}(x, u)=\frac{1}{4} f(x, u) u-F(x, u)$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right) f(x, u)=-f(x, u)$ for all $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
Variational inequalities describe phenomena from mathematical physics. They have applications in physics, mechanics, engineering, optimization, and elliptic inequalities, see, for example, [1-5].

The aim of this work is to study a Kirchhoff type variational inequality which is defined on $\Omega$ by using a non-smooth critical point theory due to Szulkin. In [7], the author has proved a number of existence theorem for critical point of functionals which are not smooth. He has generalized some minimization and minimax methods in critical point theory to a class of functionals which are not necessarily continuous and has introduced a new concept of compactness which is suitable to study these kinds of problems.

In the present paper, by using a minimization principle and the mountain pass theorem of Szulkin-type, we prove existence of positive solutions to a variational inequality of Kirchhoff-type in a closed convex set.

Let $K=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): u \geq 0\right\}$ be the closed convex set in Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and we consider the problem, denoted by $(P)$ :

Given $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function and $a, b>0$, find $u \in K$ such that
$\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot(\nabla v-\nabla u) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) d x \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K$.
Such kind of problems are called obstacle problems and they have been largely studied due to its physical application. See, for example, the classical books Kinderlehrer and Stampacchia [4], Rodrigues [6], and Troianiello [8] and the references therein.

The main results of this paper are the following:
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ hold. Then problem (1.1) possesses at least one distinct pair of positive solution.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right),\left(f_{4}\right)$, and $\left(f_{5}\right)$ hold. Then problem (1.1) possesses at least one distinct pair of positive solution.

Remark 1.3. Note that conditions $\left(f_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{4}\right)$ are weaker than the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (AR for short), which was first introduced in [10], that is,

$$
\exists \nu>4: \nu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t), t \neq 0
$$

Indeed, there are functionals $f(x, u)$ satisfying conditions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$, and not satisfying the AR condition. For example, let

$$
F(x, u)=u^{4} \ln \left(1+u^{4}\right)
$$

Then

$$
f(x, u)=4 u^{3} \ln \left(1+u^{4}\right)+4 u^{4} \frac{u^{3}}{1+u^{4}}
$$

By a simple computation, one can deduces that

$$
\nu F(x, u)-f(x, u) u=(v-4) u^{4} \ln \left(1+u^{4}\right)-4 u^{4} \frac{u^{4}}{1+u^{4}}>0
$$

for $|u|$ large enough. Thus, $f$ does not satisfy the AR-condition. Moreover, it is easy to check that $f$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

## 2. Variational Framework and Technical Lemmas

Let $H:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space equipped with the inner product and the norm

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u . \nabla v d x, \quad\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We denote by $|\cdot|_{p}$ the usual $L^{p}$-norm. Since $\Omega$ is a bounded domain, then $H \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ continuously for $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right]$, and compactly for $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right]$, and there exists $\gamma_{p}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p} \leq \gamma_{p}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in H \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K=\{u \in H, u \geq 0\}$ be the closed convex set in the space $H$. Recall that a function $u \in H$ is called weak solution of (1.1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot(\nabla v-\nabla u) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) d x \geq 0, \forall v \in H . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give some preliminaries about Szulkin-type function (see [7]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $X^{*}$ its dual. Let $\phi$ be a functional which is of class $C^{1}$ and let $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a proper (i.e., $\psi \neq+\infty$ ), convex and lower semicontinuous functional. We say that $I=\phi+\psi$ is a Szulkin-type functional. An element $u \in X$ is called a critical point of $I=\phi+\psi$ if

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u)(v-u)+\psi(v)-\psi(u) \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in X,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
0 \in \phi^{\prime}(u)+\partial \psi(u) \quad \text { in } \quad X^{*},
$$

where $\partial \psi(u)$ is the subdifferential of the convex functional $\psi$ at $u \in X$ defined by

$$
\partial \psi(u)=\left\{\phi \in X^{*}: \psi(v)-\psi(u) \geq\langle\phi, v-u\rangle, \quad \forall v \in X\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.1. The functional $I=\phi+\phi$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $(P S Z)_{c}$ if every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=c$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v-u_{n}\right\rangle+\psi(v)-\psi\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\| \quad \text { for all } v \in X, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, possesses a convergent subsequence.

The following theorem which was proved by Szulkin, is the main tool to prove the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $I: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ a Szulkin-type functional satisfies $(P S Z)_{c}, I(0)=0$ and $\phi, \psi$ are even. Assume also that
(i) there exists a subspace $X_{1}$ of $X$ of finite codimension and numbers $\alpha, \rho>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B \rho \cap X_{1}} \geq \alpha$;
(ii) there is a finite dimensional subspace $X_{2}$ of $X, \operatorname{dim} X_{2}>\operatorname{codim} X_{1}$, such that $I(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty, u \in X_{2}$.

Then $I$ has at least $\operatorname{dim} X_{2}-\operatorname{codim} X_{1}$ distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points.

We define the functional $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using ( $f_{1}$ ) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we can prove easily that $\phi \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$. We define the indicator functional of the set $K$ by

$$
\psi_{K}(u)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u \in K \\ +\infty, & \text { if } u \notin K\end{cases}
$$

We remark that the functional $\psi_{K}$ is convex, proper and lower semicontinuous. So, $I=\phi+\psi_{K}$ is a Szulkin-type functional.

Lemma 2.3. If $u \in H$ is a critical point of $I=\phi+\psi_{K}$, then $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. Let $u \in H$ be a critical point of $I=\phi+\psi_{K}$. Then, we have

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u)(v-u)+\psi_{K}(v)-\psi_{K}(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in H
$$

We first prove that $u \in K$. If this were not true, we have $\psi_{K}(u)=+\infty$, and taking $v=0 \in K$ in the above inequality, we obtain a contradiction. Next, for a fixed $v \in K$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \phi^{\prime}(u)(v-u)= & \left(a+b\|u\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\nabla v-\nabla u) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

the inequality is proved.
Lemma 2.4. Assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, then $I=\phi+\psi_{K}$ satisfies the $(P S Z)_{c}$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right)=\phi\left(u_{n}\right)+\psi_{K}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad(c \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right)+\psi_{K}(v)-\psi_{K}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|, \quad \forall v \in H \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty\}$ is a sequence with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. By (2.5), we have $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is in $K$. Next, we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. It follows from $\left(f_{3}\right)$ and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| & \geq \phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{4} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\beta}{4} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(a-\frac{\beta}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta<a \lambda_{1}$, then $\left(a-\frac{\beta}{\lambda_{1}}\right)>0$. Thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. Because the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$, going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } H \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p<2^{*} \\
& u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

As $K$ is weakly closed, then $u \in K$. Setting $v=u$ in (2.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}\left(\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u-u_{n}\right) d x \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u\left(\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u-u_{n}\right) d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u-u_{n}\right\| \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In one hand, by $\left(f_{1}\right),(2.7)$ and the Hölder inequality, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u-u_{n}\right) d x \leq & \int_{\Omega} c\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\right)\left(u-u_{n}\right) d x \\
\leq & c \int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{n}\right| d x+c \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\left|u-u_{n}\right| d x \\
\leq & c\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{1}+c\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In the other hand, by (2.7) and the fact that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n}\left\langle a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle u, u-u_{n}\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$, combine (2.9) and (2.10), we conclude that the second term in (2.8) converges to 0 . Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $H$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ hold. Then I satisfies the $(P S Z)_{c}$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H$ satisfies (2.5) and (2.6). It is clear that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is in $K$. Next, we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. Suppose to the contrary that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Setting $\quad v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. So, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } H \\
& v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p<2^{*} \\
& v_{n}(x) \rightarrow v(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two case need to be considered: $v \neq 0$ or $v=0$. We first consider the case $v \neq 0$. Set

$$
\Lambda_{n}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\left\{x \in \Omega: r_{1} \leq\left|u_{n}(x)\right|<r_{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
A:=\{x \in \Omega: v(x) \neq 0\} .
$$

Obviously, meas $(A)>0$. For $x \in A$, we have $\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $A \subset \Lambda_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r_{0}$ is given in $\left(f_{2}\right)$. By ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ), we have

$$
\frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{4}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{4} d x \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence, using Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{4}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{4} d x \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\phi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x\right) \\
= & \frac{b}{4}-\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}}\left(\int_{\Lambda_{n}\left(0, r_{0}\right)} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x+\int_{\Lambda_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x\right) \\
\leq & \frac{b}{4}+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{c}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}}\left(1+\frac{r_{0}^{p-1}}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right| d x\right] \\
& -\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{A} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{4}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{4} d x \\
\leq & \frac{b}{4}-\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{A} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{4}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{4} d x=-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. By a similar argument as the proof of Lemma 2.4, we can conclude that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly in $H$.

Next we consider the case $v=0$. We define

$$
\phi\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \phi\left(t u_{n}\right)
$$

For any $M>0$, set $\widetilde{v}_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{4 M}{a}} \frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}=\sqrt{\frac{4 M}{a}} v_{n}$. By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and he Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, \widetilde{v}_{n}\right) d x\right| \leq c \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right| d x+\frac{c}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, for $n$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\phi\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \geq \phi\left(\widetilde{v}_{n}\right) \geq \frac{a}{2}\left\|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left\|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, \widetilde{v}_{n}\right) \geq M .
$$

This means that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=\infty
$$

In view of the choice of $t_{n}$ we know that $\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle=0$. Hence, by $\left(f_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty & \leftarrow \phi\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{a}{4}\left\|t_{n} u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{F}\left(x, t_{n} u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x=\phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.5), thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is bounded, using a similar arguments as (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), we can conclude that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Main Results

Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H$ and define $x_{j}=\mathbb{R} e_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{l}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} X_{j}, \quad Z_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}, \quad l, k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the following lemma from [9].
Lemma 3.1 ([9], Lemma 3.8). If $1 \leq p<2^{*}$, then we have

$$
\beta_{k}(p):=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=1}|u|_{p} \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ is satisfied. Then there exist constants $\rho, \alpha>0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap Z_{m}} \geq \alpha$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we can choose an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $0<\beta_{m}(1) \ll 1,0<\beta_{m}(p) \ll 1$ and

$$
\|u\|_{1} \leq \beta_{m}(1)\|u\|, \quad\|u\|_{p} \leq \beta_{m}(p)\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in X_{1} .
$$

For any $u \in Z_{m}$ with $\|u\|=\rho<1$, by $\left(f_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\phi(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c \int_{\Omega}|u| d x-\frac{c}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c \beta_{m}(1)\|u\|-\frac{c}{p} \beta_{m}^{p}(p)\|u\|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c \beta_{m}(1)\|u\|-c_{p}\|u\|^{p} \\
& =\rho\left(\frac{a}{2} \rho-c \beta_{m}(1)-c_{p} \rho^{p-1}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use the fact that $0<\beta_{m}(1)<\frac{a}{2 c} \rho-\frac{c_{p}}{c} \rho^{p-1}$ if $m$ suitable large. Thus, this completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ are satisfied. Then, for any finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{H} \subset H$, there is $R=R(\widetilde{H})>0$ such that

$$
\phi(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in \widetilde{H} \backslash B_{R} .
$$

Proof. For any finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{H} \subset H$, there is a positive integral number $n>m$ (where $m$ is given by Lemma 3.2) such that $\tilde{H} \subset Y_{n}$. Since all norms are equivalent in a finite dimensional space, there is a constant $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{4} \geq c_{4}\|u\|, \quad \forall Y_{n} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, we know that for any $M>\frac{b}{4 c_{4}^{4}}$ there is a constant $C_{M}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, u) \geq M|u|^{4}-C_{M}|u|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\phi(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-M\|u\|_{4}^{4}+C_{M}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\left(M c_{4}^{4}-\frac{b}{4}\right)\|u\|^{4}+C_{M} C_{2}^{2}\|u\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in Y_{n}$. Consequently, there is a large $R=R(\tilde{H})>0$ such that $\phi(u) \leq 0$ on $\tilde{H} \backslash B_{R}$. Thus, the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $X=H, X_{1}=Z_{m}$, and $X_{2}=Y_{n}$. Obviously, $I(0)=0$ and $\left(f_{5}\right)$ implies that $I$ is even. By Lemmas 2.4, 3.1, and 3.2, all conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Thus, problem (2.5) possesses $\operatorname{dim} X_{2}-\operatorname{codim} X_{1}=n-m+1>1$ distinct pairs of positive solutions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $X=H, X_{1}=Z_{m}$, and $X_{2}=Y_{n}$. Obviously, $I(0)=0$ and $\left(f_{5}\right)$ implies that $I$ is even. By Lemmas 2.5, 3.1, and 3.2, all conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Thus, problem (2.5) possesses $\operatorname{dim} X_{2}-\operatorname{codim} X_{1}=n-m+1>1$ distinct pairs of positive solutions.
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